



BRIEFING

MINISTER	PORTFOLIO	DEADLINE
Hon Kate Wilkinson	Minister of Labour	26 November 2010
Action sought	For your decision	
Title	MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010 (INITIAL BRIEFING)	
Date	12 November 2010	
Security	N/A	
Copied to	N/A	
For referral to	N/A	
Agencies consulted	N/A	
Contact information	[9(2)(a)]	DDI: [9(2)(a)] MB: N/A
Authorising manager	Justin Strang Policy Manager, Employment Relations	DDI: +64 4 915 4615 MB: N/A
Tracker number	10/98801	

Minister's comments

Minister's feedback	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good
Quality of advice	1	2	3	4	5
Writing style	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of analysis	1	2	3	4	5
Completeness of information	1	2	3	4	5



10/98801

12 November 2010

Hon Kate Wilkinson, Minister of Labour

MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010 (INITIAL BRIEFING)

Purpose

- 1 This briefing provides you with Initial information around the Minimum Wage Review 2010 for your forthcoming meeting with the Prime Minister and Minister of Finance on 24 November. A one-page note is also prepared for your convenience (attached as Appendix 1). More detailed information will be provided to you by late November.

Executive summary

- 2 This year's minimum wage review considers four possible options for future minimum wage rates.

Table 1: Options for the minimum wage in 2011

Option	Add minimum wage (an hour)	New entrants minimum wage The rising minimum wage (an hour)
Option 1	\$12.75 (status quo)	\$10.20
Option 2	\$13.00 (2.0% increase) ¹	\$10.40
Option 3	\$13.50 (5.9% increase) ²	\$10.80
Option 4	\$15.00 (17.6% increase) ³	\$12.00

- 3 The Department assessed the impact of different options on employment; on low paid workers (youth, women, Māori and Pacific people, part-time workers); on wage earnings, Gross Domestic Product and inflation; on industry sectors and on the state sector. The main findings are:

- a Options 1 and 2 are estimated to have no impacts on employment growth and very small impact on weekly wage earnings and GDP/inflation. These options may directly affect about 50,000 employees nation wide.

¹ An increase in line with the change of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) and average wage change from the Quarterly Employment Survey (June quarter 2010) (QES).

² An option between option 2 and option 4

³ An option considered in the minimum wage review 2009.

- b It is estimated that Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) may reduce employment growth by up to 380 jobs; it may directly affect about 100,000 employees and increase weekly wage earnings by around 0.09 percent and GDP/inflation by 0.04 percent.
- c Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) may reduce employment growth by between 3,440 and 5,450 jobs. Option 4 may have a relatively higher impact on the economy.
- d Youth, women, Māori, Pacific people and part-time workers are more likely to be minimum wage workers. They are more likely to benefit from any increase in the minimum wage.
- e Hospitality and retail industries are the sectors most affected by an increase in the minimum wage. For Option 3, their weekly wage bill would increase by 10.7 and 7.4 percent respectively.
- f Increasing the minimum wage would have fiscal impacts on the state sector. The Ministries of Health, Social Development and Education and the Accident Compensation Corporation have identified areas which are likely to be impacted by changes in the minimum wage (see paragraph 26 for more details).
- 4 Given the fragile economic recovery situation, the Department considers it is not practical to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour (Option 4) for 2011. The pattern of small and gradual increases in the minimum wage rate in the past two years has struck a balance between maintaining its relative value and the constraint on job growth. It seems possible to maintain this balance in 2011 by making a modest increase to the minimum wage levels.

Background

- 5 It is a statutory requirement for you as the Minister of Labour to review minimum wage rates by 31 December each year.
- 6 The current minimum wage rates are:
- \$12.75 an hour for the adult minimum wage, and
 - \$10.20 an hour for the new entrants' minimum wage and the training minimum wage.
- 7 This year's review occurs against a background of fragile economic recovery and high unemployment. While the economic outlook is improving, there remains uncertainty about the extent of the economic recovery in both New Zealand and globally. Therefore, the Department considers a cautious approach to setting the minimum wage rates for 2011 is warranted.
- 8 There is a mix of views on the effects of the minimum wage on employment levels. While a minimum wage increase benefits low paid workers by raising their incomes, previous research suggests these workers may also be the first group of workers to experience any negative impacts from an increase. However, there is no firm evidence that a modest increase in the minimum wage has a negative impact on employment.

Options for the minimum wage review 2010

- 9 The Department has examined four options for the minimum wage rates in 2011. These options are listed in the following table:

Table 1: Options for the minimum wage in 2011

Option	Adult minimum wage (an hour)	New entrants minimum wage & Training minimum wage (an hour)
Option 1	\$12.75	\$10.20
Option 2	\$13.00	\$10.40
Option 3	\$13.50	\$10.80
Option 4	\$15.00	\$12.00

Submitters' views on the minimum wage rate

- 10 The Minister of Labour invited written submissions from Business New Zealand, New Zealand Council of Trade Unions (NZCTU) and other stakeholders. 12 written submissions were received. Business New Zealand did not make a submission.
- 11 Three submitters (the National Association of Retail Grocers and Supermarkets of New Zealand (Incorporated) (NARGON), the Federated Farmers of New Zealand and the Hospitality Association of New Zealand) recommended no increase to the minimum wage (option 1) in 2011.
- 12 Five submitters (NZCTU, the National Distribution Union (NDU), the New Zealand Nurses Organisation, the Service and Food Workers Union and the Working Women's Resource Centre) recommended increasing the minimum wage to \$17.22 an hour⁴.
- 13 One submitter (Unite) recommended an increase to \$15.00 (option 4).
- 14 Two submitters (the National Advisory Council on the Employment of Women and the Mayors Taskforce for Jobs) recommended an increase in the minimum wage but they did not recommend a specific figure.
- 15 The Small Business Advisory Group recommended a range of options from no change to \$13.00 an hour, with a strong preference to increase the minimum wage in line with the change in the CPI.

Assessment of the options for the minimum wage rates in 2010

- 16 The options are assessed in terms of gains and losses from changes in the minimum wage. Matters considered include the main social and economic impacts and the impacts of the options on specific population groups. The options are also considered in terms of changes to the minimum wage as part of the broader package of government income and employment-related interventions.

⁴ This is based on 66.0% of the average ordinary time wage of \$25.45 an hour in the June 2010 Quarterly Employment Survey, plus a 2.5% increase to take it to the end of March 2011.

Impact on employment growth

- 17 Evidence regarding the impact of increasing the minimum wage on employment is mixed. The conventional view on adjustment in the labour market suggests that when the price of labour increases (for example, due to an increase in the minimum wage) there will be a decline in the demand for labour (possibly due to substitution of capital inputs). Recent research finds that minimum wage laws raise pay at the bottom of the wage distribution and are generally associated with lower dispersion of earnings. However, despite considerable efforts, researchers have not pinned down the effects on other aggregate economic outcomes, such as unemployment and employment⁵.
- 18 The Department has analysed employment impacts with respect to real minimum wage changes by using a range of employment adjustment factors. Real changes in minimum wage options are adjusted using the Producers Price Index (PPI)⁶. In real terms, Option 2 (\$13.00) does not constitute an increase for the year to March 2011 while Option 3 (\$13.50) offers at most a real increase of 0.9% in labour costs.
- 19 Table 2 below sets out aggregate estimates of the level of job constraint that may result from minimum wage increases. Currently, the Household Labour Force Survey for September 2010 reports that the total number of people employed is 2,193,000. This is projected to increase by 22,000 jobs to 2,215,000 jobs in March 2011 according to the Department's most recent forecast of 0.5% per quarter in the next two quarters). From March 2011, employment is currently expected to increase by 1% (or a further 22,100 jobs) to 2,237,100 in March 2012⁷.

Table 2: Summary of impacts of minimum wage increases on employment levels (March 2010 – March 2011)⁸

Option	Projected job growth (% increase in parenthesis)	Potential constraint on job growth (absolute change)	Potential constraint on job growth (relative change)
1. \$12.75	22,150 (1.0%)	0	0.00%
2. \$13.00	22,150 (1.0%)	0	0.00%
3. \$13.50	21,770 (1.0%) - 22,150 (1.0%)	0 - 380	0.00% - 0.02%
4. \$15.00	16,700 (0.8%) - 18,710 (0.8%)	3,440 - 5,450	0.16% - 0.25%

Source: Department of Labour calculations

⁵ Freeman, R. *Labour Market Institutions around the World*, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, July 2007.

⁶ This indicates the changes in output prices of the total economy and can be obtained from the NZIER Quarterly Predictions (for the period March 2010 – March 2011 is 7% but a lower PPI of 5% is used for our assessment).

⁷ The NZIER consensus forecast is used here and already implicitly assumes impacts on employment due to minimum wage increases, but the magnitude of this impact is unknown. We do not adjust for this for reasons of convenience.

⁸ We have used single estimates for the wages of 16 and 17 year old workers, as the data suggests that majority are earning the adult minimum wage or more and we are unable to estimate how many 16 and 17 year olds may be eligible for the new-entrant minimum wage (for example when they will have completed the service requirement under the new entrants' minimum wage). The data therefore assumes that all 16 and 17 year olds are eligible to earn (at least) the adult minimum wage.

- 20 There are no negative impacts on employment growth expected with option 2 (\$13.00) since this option does not constitute a real increase in the minimum wage and employment adjustment factors are estimated on the basis of real minimum wage change. Predicted employment growth without an increase in the minimum wage (option 1) for the period March 2011 to March 2012 (1%) would not be reduced under option 2 as employment growth is estimated to remain at 1%.
- 21 For option 3 (\$13.50 an hour), employment growth is estimated to reduce by up to 380 jobs. Employment growth is estimated to be reduced by between 3,440 and 5,450 jobs under option 4 (\$15.00 an hour).

Impact on low paid workers

- 22 Youth, women, Māori, Pacific and part-time workers are more likely to be low paid workers. A high proportion of them are earning below or at the minimum wage and they are likely to benefit from any increase in the minimum wage rate. Raising the minimum wage will also increase fairness and reduce the gender pay gap. However, these workers may also be the first group of workers to experience any negative impacts from an increase. The following table shows the demographic and job characteristics for wage and salary workers impacted by different minimum wage options.

Table 3: Shares of demographic groups by adult minimum wage (%)

Demographic Group	Below \$12.75 (\$10.00-12.75)	\$12.75 (\$12.75-15.00)	\$12.75 (\$12.75-15.00)	\$12.75 (\$12.75-15.00)	Above \$15.00
	(Option 1)	(Option 2)	(Option 3)	(Option 4)	
Aged under 25	56.5	54.5	47.0	38.3	11.0
Female	57.1	57.6	59.9	61.6	47.0
Married	36.6	37.6	43.2	49.1	72.1
European/Pakeha	51.8	54.8	56.2	61.4	72.8
Māori	20.8	19.8	16.6	15.8	11.3
Pacific	5.6	5.6	6.5	6.0	4.0
Part-time	56.0	52.1	48.2	41.9	14.0
Post School qual.	37.2	37.8	36.9	39.6	66.0

Source: 2010 New Zealand Income Survey, Statistics New Zealand.

Impact on wage earnings, Gross Domestic Product and inflation

- 23 Table 4 examines the impacts of each option in terms of the numbers of workers affected, the estimated increase in weekly wage earnings and the potential increase in nominal GDP/inflation. It shows that while a relatively large proportion of workers may be affected by the different options, low income workers are generally working fewer hours, and so account for a relatively small share of weekly earnings.

Table 4: Economy-wide impacts of increases in the minimum wage in 2011 on the wage earnings and GDP (Inflation)⁹

Column	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Options	Workers between current and new minimum wage	Weekly hours worked by workers earning between the current and new minimum wage (000)	Current weekly earnings of workers earning between the current and new minimum wage (\$'000)	Updated weekly earnings of workers earning between the current and new minimum wage (\$'000)	Wage earnings increase (weekly '000)	Increase in economy wide weekly wage earnings (% including wage earnings of self-employment)	Increase in GDP / inflation (% points)
1. \$12.75	45,700	1,039	13,253	13,252	0	0.00%	0.00%
2. \$13.00	53,000	1,256	16,043	16,332	289	0.02%	0.01%
3. \$13.50	108,100	2,717	35,219	36,675	1,456	0.09%	0.04%
4. \$15.00	274,900	7,750	106,289	116,244	9,955	0.82%	0.26%

Source: New Zealand Income Survey June 2010, Statistics New Zealand and Department of Labour calculations.

24 In the case of option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) about 108,000 workers could be affected, if the adult minimum wage applies to all workers including 16 and 17 year old workers. Wage earnings would increase by \$1.456 million a week and it could increase the inflation rate by 0.04 percent¹⁰. Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour) has a smaller impact on the numbers affected, wage earnings and inflation. Option 4 (\$15.00) may have a relatively larger impact on wage earnings and inflation.

⁹ We have used single estimates for the wages of 16 and 17 year old workers, as the data suggests that majority are earning the adult minimum wage or more. We are unable to estimate how many 16 and 17 year olds may be eligible for the new entrants' minimum wage (for example when they will have completed the service requirement under the new entrants' minimum wage). The data therefore assumes that all 16 and 17 year olds are eligible to earn (at least) the adult minimum wage. The results therefore represent an upper bound estimate. The values in column 1 - 3 are drawn from the New Zealand Income Survey June 2010 while column 4 is calculated by multiplying the hours worked (column 2) with the relevant new minimum wage option. Thus, at the minimum wage option of \$13.50, the weekly earnings of those workers between the new option and the existing minimum wage would be (\$13.50x 2,717,000 hours worked per week=\$36,675,000). Column 5 then shows the difference with the current earnings (shown in column 4). In column 6 this is expressed in terms of the economy-wide weekly wage earnings (for all people that receive income from wages and salaries, including those who are self-employed) and in column 7 in terms of GDP based on the latest economy-wide share of wage earnings in GDP (43.2% in 2008/09).

¹⁰ For example, from 2.00% (as is forecasted by the RBNZ for the year to March 2011, see <http://www.rbnz.govt.nz/monopol/statements/index.html>, select the "data" option) to a new inflation rate of 2.04%. Note that this inflationary impact model ignores any adjustments or adaption that may take place at the individual, firm or macro level such as worker lay-off, cost-push effects or other macro price / exchange rate effects.

Impact on the state sector

- 25 There are a number of state sector employees and contractors on low wages, particularly in the public health and compulsory education sectors. Increases in the minimum wage are therefore likely to have direct (and possibly also indirect or 'flow-on') costs for some state sector employers. It is likely that organisations will seek additional funding to compensate for higher wage costs. It is possible that following a minimum wage increase state sector employees may bargain with their employers to retain their relativities. This may also impact on costs to government.
- 26 The Ministries of Health, Social Development and Education and the Accident Compensation Corporation have identified areas which are more likely to be impacted by changes in the minimum wage. The following table provide an estimate of the fiscal impact of the increase in the minimum wage:

Table 5: Financial Impact for four state agencies

Option	Ministry of Health	MSD	Ministry of Education	ACC
\$13.00	\$3.53M	\$108,000	\$32,000	\$2.3 million
\$13.50	\$21.87M	\$323,000	\$169,000	\$6.9 million
\$15.00	\$92.85M	\$968,000	\$4.463M	\$20.7 million

Other considerations

Comparison with international benchmarks

- 27 Internationally, minimum wage levels vary greatly. In many countries, despite the economic crisis, minimum wages have continued to increase, either as the result of long-term adjustment plans or reviews of their domestic economic and labour market situations¹¹. This year many developed countries, such as the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada, Turkey and Poland, increased their minimum wage rates.

- 28 There are a number of factors that might contribute towards cross-country differences in minimum wage levels. The Department considers that comparison with Australia is useful due to its close economic connections to New Zealand and the relatively free movement of labour between the two countries.

- 29 The Australian federal minimum wage increased by 4.8% from AU\$14.31 to AU\$15.00 following the 2010 review. The current Australian minimum wage rate equates to NZ\$19.31 on 1 November 2010¹².

Interface with other government interventions

- 30 There are a range of government interventions and initiatives aimed at protecting employment and increasing incomes. These interventions encompass labour

¹¹ ILO, *Global Wage Report*, 2009.

¹² Based on an exchange rate of 0.7769 from New Zealand Reserve Bank.

market policies, the taxation system, and education and training policies, among which taxation generally has a more direct impact on low paid people.

Taxation

- 31 The rates of tax on individual incomes recently decreased. The rates that affect low income earners decreased from 12.5% to 10.5% for income up to \$14,000 a year and from 21% to 17.5% for income between \$14,000 and \$48,000 a year. The decrease in individual income tax came into effect from 1 October 2010. At the same time the rate of GST payable on goods and services increased from 12.5% to 15%. The net result from these two changes for those earning around the minimum wage is expected to be a small increase in disposable income¹³.
- 32 Also from 1 October 2010, the rates of the family tax credit were increased by 2%, to compensate for the expected impact on living expenses of the increase in the rate of GST. From 1 April 2011 the rate of the minimum family tax credit will increase to reflect expected inflation in the 2010 calendar year. Working families with dependent children will have their net family income topped up to \$22,204 (equivalent to a gross annual income of \$25,726).

Proposed timeline for the rest of the review

- 33 The following table provides you a proposed timeline of the rest of the 2010 review:

Tasks	Proposed timeline
Meeting with officials to discuss this briefing	15 November 2010
Final briefing on MWR to you	26 November 2010
Draft Cabinet paper for your consideration	3 December 2010
Official discuss the Cabinet paper with you	7 December 2010
Cabinet paper at Economic Growth and Infrastructure Committee	15 December 2010
Cabinet	20 December 2010

Comment


- 34 Given the fragile economic recovery situation, the Department considers it is not practical to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour (Option 4) for 2011. The pattern of small and gradual increases in the minimum wage rate in the past two years has struck a balance between maintaining its relative value and constraining job growth. It seems possible to maintain this balance in 2011 by making a modest increase to the minimum wage levels.

¹³ There is a rough calculator at www.taxguide.govt.nz. Someone on minimum wage might be better off by around \$2 - \$6 a week depending on how much of their income is spent on rent.

Recommendation

It is recommended that you:

- 1 **note** that this year's minimum wage review is considering four options for 2011: \$12.75, \$13.00, \$13.50 and \$15.00 an hour
- 2 **note** that options 1 and 2 are estimated to have no impacts on employment growth and very small impact on weekly wage earnings and GDP/inflation. These options may directly affect about 50,000 employees nation wide
- 3 **note** it is estimated that Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) may reduce employment growth by up to 380 jobs; it may directly affect about 100,000 employees and increase weekly wage earnings by around 0.09 percent and GDP/inflation by 0.04 percent
- 4 **note** Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) may reduce employment growth by between 3,440 and 5,450 jobs. Option 4 may have a relatively higher impact on the economy
- 5 **note** you intend to discuss the minimum wage rate options for 2011 with the Prime Minister and Minister of Finance on 24 November 2010
- 6 **note** the Department considers it is not practical to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour (Option 4) for 2011, and
- 7 **instruct** officials of your preferred option for officials to draft a Cabinet Paper by 26 November.


Justin Strong
Policy Manager,
Employment Relations, Workplace Policy
for Secretary of Labour

12 / 11 / 2010

Hon Kate Wilkinson
Minister of Labour

..... / /

APPENDIX 1: NOTE FOR YOUR MEETING WITH THE PRIME MINISTER ON THE MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010

1. The current minimum wage rates are:

- \$12.75 an hour for the adult minimum wage, and
- \$10.20 an hour for the new entrants' minimum wage and the training minimum wage.

2. This year's minimum wage review considers four possible options for future minimum wage rates.

Option	Adult minimum wage (an hour)	New entrants' minimum wage & training minimum wage (an hour)
Option 1	\$12.75 (status quo)	\$10.20
Option 2	\$13.00 (2.0% increase) ¹⁴	\$10.40
Option 3	\$13.50 (5.9% increase) ¹⁵	\$10.80
Option 4	\$15.00 (17.6% increase) ¹⁶	\$12.00

- Options 1 and 2 are estimated to have no impacts on employment growth and very small impact on weekly wage earnings and GDP/inflation. These options may directly affect about 50,000 employees nation wide.
- It is estimated that Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) may reduce employment growth by up to 380 jobs, it may directly affect about 100,000 employees and increase weekly wage earnings by around 0.09 percent and GDP/inflation by 0.04 percent.
- Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) may reduce employment growth by between 3,440 and 5,450 jobs. Option 4 may have a relatively higher impact on the economy.

3. Increasing the minimum wage would have fiscal impact on the state sector. The Ministries of Health, Social Development and Education and the Accident Compensation Corporation have identified areas which are likely to be impacted by changes in the minimum wage.

4. Given the fragile economic recovery situation, the Department considers it is not practical to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour (Option 4) for 2011. The pattern of small and gradual increases in the minimum wage rate in the past two years has struck a balance between maintaining its relative value and constraining job growth. It seems possible to maintain this balance in 2011 by making a modest increase to the minimum wage levels.

5. You intend to submit a Cabinet Paper to the Economic Growth and Infrastructure Committee on 15 December for consideration by Cabinet on 20 December 2010.

¹⁴ An increase in line with the change of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) and average wage change from the Quarterly Employment Survey (June quarter 2010) (QES).

¹⁵ An option between option 2 and option 4

¹⁶ An option considered in the minimum wage review 2009.



AIDE MEMOIRE

MINISTER	PORTFOLIO	DEADLINE
Hon Kate Wilkinson	Minister of Labour	N/A
Action sought	For your information	
Title	MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010: NOTES FOR YOUR MEETING WITH THE PRIME MINISTER	
Date	19 November 2010	
Security	N/A	
Copied to	N/A	
For referral to	N/A	
Agencies consulted	N/A	
Contact information	[9(2)(a)]	DDI: [9(2)(a)] MB: N/A
Authorising manager	Justin Strang Policy Manager - Employment Relations	DDI: 04 915 4615 MB: N/A
Tracker number	10/98587	

Minister's comments

Minister's feedback	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good
Quality of advice	1	2	3	4	5
Writing style	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of analysis	1	2	3	4	5
Completeness of information	1	2	3	4	5



10/98587

19 November 2010

Hon Kate Wilkinson, Minister of Labour

MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010: NOTES FOR YOUR MEETING WITH THE PRIME MINISTER

Purpose

- 1 This paper provides you with information on the Minimum Wage Review 2010 to support your discussion with your colleagues on Wednesday, 24 November 2010. This paper updates information provided to you on 12 November 2010 [10/98801].

Recommendation

It is recommended that you:

- 1 **forward** the attached information to your colleagues ahead of the meeting on 24 November 2010.

Justin Strang
Policy Manager - Employment Relations
for Secretary of Labour

19 / 11 / 10
..... / /

Hon Kate Wilkinson
Minister of Labour

..... / /

MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010: KEY FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION

- 1 The Department of Labour will provide a full report to the Minister of Labour to inform her statutory annual review of the minimum wage rates on 26 November 2010. This paper contains the key factors you may wish to consider.

Context

- 2 Since the 2008 review, the Government has made modest, yet steady, increases to the minimum wage. These reflect the fragile economic and labour market at the previous reviews. The economic recovery is still fragile. However, it is expected that both the economy and the labour market will improve over the coming 12 to 18 months.

Options considered in this review

Table 1: Options considered in the Minimum Wage Review 2010

Option	Adult minimum wage (an hour)	New entrants' minimum wage & Training minimum wage (an hour)
Option 1	\$12.75 (status quo)	\$10.20
Option 2	\$13.00 (CPI Increase) ¹	\$10.40
Option 3	\$13.50 (5.9% Increase) ²	\$10.80
Option 4	\$15.00 (17.6% Increase) ³	\$12.00

- 3 Any increase to the minimum wage is likely to be effective on 1 April 2011.

People impacted by changes to the minimum wage

- 4 People being paid at or near the minimum wage are more likely to be: women, Māori, Pacific people, youth and part-time workers. These demographics are more likely to benefit from an increase to the minimum wage. They are however also more likely to suffer any negative impacts from higher minimum wages.
- 5 The Department estimates that around 45,700 workers are on the current minimum wage (option 1). Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour) would directly affect 53,000 workers. Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) would directly affect 108,100 workers. Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) would directly affect 274,900 workers.

Impact on employment growth

- 6 The status quo (\$12.75 an hour) and an increase in line with the CPI (\$13.00 an hour) are estimated to have no impact on employment growth. Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) is estimated to reduce employment growth by up to 380 jobs. Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) is estimated to reduce employment growth by between 3,440 and 5,450 jobs.

¹ An increase in line with the change of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) and average wage change from the Quarterly Employment Survey (June quarter 2010) (QES).

² An option between option 2 and option 4.

³ An option considered in the minimum wage review 2009.

Impact on the wage bill

- 7 Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour) will have a very small impact on weekly wage earnings. Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) is estimated to increase weekly wage earnings by around 0.09%. Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) is estimated to increase weekly wage earnings by 0.65%.
- 8 An increase in the minimum wage is more likely to affect employers in the hospitality and retail industries. An increase to \$13.50 an hour (option 3) is likely to increase their weekly wage bill by 10.7% and 7.4%, respectively.

Impact on inflation

- 9 Options 1, 2 and 3 are likely to have a very small impact on inflation. An increase to \$15.00 an hour (option 4) is estimated to increase inflation by 0.28 percentage points.

Impact on the state sector

- 10 The Ministries of Health, Social Development and Education and the Accident Compensation Corporation have estimated that increasing the minimum wage to \$13.00 an hour (option 2) may have a direct fiscal impact of around \$5.9 million. Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) may have a direct fiscal impact of around \$29.2 million for these agencies. Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) may have a direct fiscal impact of around \$119 million for these agencies.

International comparisons

- 11 A comparison with Australia is most useful due to its close economic connections to New Zealand and the relatively free movement of labour between the two countries. The Australian federal minimum wage increased by 4.8% from AU\$14.31 to AU\$15.00 following their 2010 review. The current Australian minimum wage rate equates to NZ\$19.31 on 1 November 2010⁴.
- 12 As a proportion of average weekly wages, New Zealand's minimum wage is higher than Australia's. Based on a comparison with the United Kingdom, Australia's minimum wage is higher than New Zealand's in terms of purchasing power parity.
- 13 Comparisons with other OECD countries will be in the Department's full report to the Minister of Labour.

Submitters' views

- 14 The Minister of Labour received twelve written submissions. Three submitters representing employers recommended no change to the minimum wage.
- 15 The majority of the Small Business Advisory Group preferred an increase in line with the Consumers Price Index. One union recommended an increase to \$15.00 an hour (option 4). Two other submitters just recommended increasing the minimum wage.
- 16 Five submitters (including four unions) recommended increasing the minimum wage to \$17.22 an hour (this is based on two thirds of average wages). The Department

⁴ Based on an exchange rate of 0.7769 from New Zealand Reserve Bank.

estimates that a minimum wage of \$17.22 an hour would directly affect 512,000 workers, constrain employment growth by between 9,070 and 12,960 jobs and increase inflation by 1.04 percentage points. The weekly wage bill would increase by 2.44%.

Previous minimum wage changes

- 17 Over 2006-2008, the minimum wage rates increased sharply as the government made increases to reach an adult minimum wage of \$12.00 an hour in 2008. The increases were higher than changes in inflation and average wages. This was in part seen as "catching up" following little change to the minimum wage rates over the 1990s.
- 18 Since 2008, the government has made modest increases to the minimum wage in line with changes in the CPI. The increases have been lower than increases in the average wage.
- 19 Department of Labour research on the impact of the 2010 minimum wage change, found that most businesses surveyed were not affected by the changes (other than by increasing the wages of those on the minimum wage). Businesses affected were more likely to absorb costs (62%), pass on wage increases to other staff (33%), pass the increased costs on to consumers (11%) and change their hiring practices, such as hiring more experienced staff or recruiting fewer staff (10%).

Tax changes

- 20 Income tax rates decreased on 1 October 2010 while the rate of GST payable on goods and services increased. The net result from these two changes for those earning around the minimum wage is expected to be a small increase in disposable income⁵. Family tax credit rates were increased to compensate for the expected impact on living expenses from the GST increase.

Department's recommendation

- 21 Given the fragile economic recovery situation, the Department of Labour considers it is not practical to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour (Option 4). The pattern of small and gradual increases in the minimum wage rate in the past two years has struck a balance between maintaining its relative value and constraining job growth. It seems possible to maintain this balance in 2011 by making a modest increase to the minimum wage rates.

⁵ There is a rough calculator at www.taxguide.govt.nz. Someone on minimum wage might be better off by around \$2 - \$6 a week depending on how much of their income is spent on rent.

RELEASED UNDER THE
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT



RECEIVED
13 DEC 2010
GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVE
AND MINISTERIAL SUPPORT

RECEIVED
14 DEC 2010
BY:

BRIEFING

MINISTER	PORTFOLIO	DEADLINE
Hon Kate Wilkinson	Minister of Labour	20 December 2010
Action sought	For your decision	
Title	MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010: DEPARTMENTAL REPORT	
Date	10 December 2010	
Security	N/A	
Copied to	N/A	
For referral to	N/A	
Agencies consulted	N/A	
Contact information	[9(2)(a)] Justin Strang Policy Manager, Employment Relations	DDI: [9(2)(a)] MB: N/A
Authorising manager	Shenagh Gleisner Acting Deputy Chief Executive Policy and Research Group	DDI: 04 915 4615 MB: N/A
Tracker number	10/99188	

Minister's comments

Minister's feedback	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good
Quality of advice	1	2	3	4	5
Writing style	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of analysis	1	2	3	4	5
Completeness of information	1	2	3	4	5



10/99188

10 December 2010

Hon Kate Wilkinson, Minister of Labour

MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010: DEPARTMENTAL REPORT

Purpose

- 1 This paper provides you with the Departmental report on the minimum wage review 2010. Your consideration of the report fulfils your statutory obligation to review the minimum wage rates for 2010. A one-page summary of the report is attached for your meeting with colleagues to discuss the review on 14 December 2010.

Background and process

- 2 You have a statutory obligation under Section 5 of the Minimum Wage Act 1983 to review the minimum wage rates by 31 December each year. There are also formal international commitments that establish an explicit obligation on the Government to ensure an adequate minimum wage.
- 3 The current adult minimum wage is \$12.75 an hour; the new entrants' minimum wage and the training minimum wage are both \$10.20 an hour (80% of the adult minimum wage).
- 4 By convention, the process for reviewing the minimum wage involves:
 - you inviting submissions from a range of identified parties;
 - officials presenting you with advice and options for reviewing the minimum wage (the attached report); and
 - after consultation with your ministerial colleagues and support partners, your recommendation(s) are submitted to Cabinet for consideration.
- 5 Should Cabinet decide to increase the minimum wage rates, this decision is given effect by the Governor-General through an Order in Council.
- 6 International Labour Organisation (ILO) Convention 26 requires that consultation with employers and employees ("in equal numbers and on equal terms") occurs to inform the determination of minimum wage rates (as part of the wage-fixing machinery). This review process complies with this ILO Convention.

This Years Review

- 7 The minimum wage review has to consider the Cabinet directed single overarching objective [POL Min(08) 16/21 refers]:

"to set a wage floor that balances the protection of the lowest paid with employment impacts, in the context of current and forecast labour market and economic conditions and impacts"

- 8 The prudent and gradual increases in the minimum wage rate in the past two years have met this objective. The Department considers that a cautious approach to the minimum wage is still warranted. Retaining the status quo (option 1) or a modest increase in line with the CPI (option 2) could be considered given the current subdued economic recovery and labour market.

Recommendations

I recommend that you:

- 1 **note** that your statutory obligation as Minister of Labour to annually review the minimum wage rates is discharged by your consideration the attached Departmental report on the minimum wage review 2010.

- 2 **note** that the Department has provided you with information on four options for the adult minimum wage in 2011:

2.1 Option 1 is retaining the current adult minimum wage at \$12.75 an hour (or \$510 for a 40 hour week);

2.2 Option 2 is \$13.00 an hour (or \$520 for a 40 hour week). This would increase the minimum wage to maintain relativity with the increase in consumer prices and average wages (an increase of 2%);

2.3 Option 3 is \$13.50 an hour (or \$540 for a 40 hour week). This would increase the minimum wage by 5.9%;

2.4 Option 4 is \$15.00 an hour (or \$600 for a 40 hour week). This would increase the minimum wage by 17.6%.

- 3 **instruct** the Department to draft a paper to Cabinet that recommends one, or more, of the following options regarding the adult minimum wage rate:

3.1 **agree** to Option 1 of \$12.75 an hour or \$510 for a 40 hour week (status quo).

agree / **do not agree**

or

3.2 **agree** to Option 2 of \$13.00 an hour or \$520 for a 40 hour week (a 2.0% increase that maintains relativity with the increase in consumer prices and average wages).

agree / **do not agree**

or

3.3 **agree** to Option 3 of \$13.50 an hour or \$540 for a 40 hour week (a 5.9% increase).

agree / do not agree

or

3.4 **agree** to Option 4 of \$15.00 an hour or \$600 for a 40 hour week (a 17.6% increase).

agree / do not agree

4 **agree** that the new entrants' minimum wage continues to be set at 80% of the adult minimum wage.

agree / do not agree

5 **agree** that the training minimum wage continues to be set at 80% of the adult minimum wage.


agree / do not agree

6 **agree** that any change to the minimum wage rates comes into effect on 1 April 2011.


agree / do not agree

7 **agree** that the Department makes the attached Departmental report and the consequent Cabinet paper publicly available through its website, following Cabinet's consideration of your submission on the minimum wage rates.

agree / do not agree


Shenagh Gleisner
Acting Deputy Chief Executive
Policy and Research Group
for Secretary of Labour

14.12.2010


Hon Kate Wilkinson
Minister of Labour

14.12.10

2010 Minimum Wage Review

The Department of Labour has assessed four options for minimum wage change for the 2011 year as outlined in the table below.

Minimum wage impact measures	Option 1 (status quo)	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
Minimum wage (per hour) Adults	\$12.75	\$13.00	\$13.50	\$15.00
New entrants & trainees	\$10.20	\$10.40	\$10.80	\$12.00
Percentage Increase	0	2.0%	5.9%	17.6%
Number of people impacted by minimum wage options	45,700	53,000	108,100	274,900
Number of people impacted above status quo	0	7,300	62,400	229,200
Forecast of constraint on job growth due to minimum wage increase		0	480 - 640	4,280 - 5,710
Economy-wide Increase in wages (\$m, annual)	0	15	76	518
Inflationary Impact (percentage point change, CPI)	0	0.01	0.04	0.26
Additional wage costs to government (\$m, annual)	0	6	30	119

How does New Zealand's minimum wage compare with Australia? The Australian Federal minimum Wage was increased to AUS\$15.00 (NZ\$19.31) on 1 November 2010. However, New Zealand's minimum wage is 49.5% of average weekly wages, higher than Australia's by 4.3 percentage points.

What were the submitters' views on this years minimum wage review? Business submitters recommended a range of views from status quo up to \$13.00 an hour. Union and worker representative groups recommended a range of views from a change with no specific figure through to \$17.22 per hour.



MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010

DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR
December 2010

Contents

Executive summary	3
Current minimum wage rates.....	5
The legal mechanics of the minimum wage review.....	5
The Government's objective for the minimum wage.....	6
Level of the current minimum wage relative to other benchmarks.....	6
Economic context: labour market conditions and outlook, November 2010	7
Impact on employers of the 1 April 2010 minimum wage increase.....	9
Part two — Options for the minimum wage review 2010.....	11
Options for the minimum wage review 2010	11
Submitters' views on the minimum wage rate.....	11
Part three — Assessment of the options for the minimum wage review 2010.....	12
Assessment criterion 1: Gains and losses from changes in the minimum wage.....	12
Number of people affected by the different options.....	12
Impacts on employment and unemployment.....	13
Impact on low paid workers.....	16
Impact on wage earnings, nominal Gross Domestic Product and inflation	19
Impact on industry sectors.....	19
Impact on the state sector	21
Other considerations.....	25
Assessment criterion 2: Other income and employment-related interventions.....	30
Interface with other government interventions.....	30
Summary.....	31
Appendix 1: Cabinet approved objective and assessment criteria	32
Background.....	32
Overarching objective.....	32
Assessment criteria	32
Appendix 2: Recent changes to the minimum wage.....	35
Appendix 3: Summary of submissions	36
Employer representative groups.....	36
Employee representative groups	37
Other organisations	39

Executive summary

- 1 The minimum wage provides a floor for wages paid to employees. The Minister of Labour has a statutory obligation to review the level of the minimum wage by 31 December each year. For this year's minimum wage review, the Department of Labour (the Department) has considered four options for minimum wage rates adjustment for 2011. The assessment of different options against a range of measures is summarised in the table below.

Table 1: Impact of different options for the minimum wage adjustment in 2011

Minimum wage impact measures	Option 1 (no change)	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
Minimum wage (per hour) Adults	\$12.75	\$13.00	\$13.50	\$15.00
New entrants & trainees	\$10.20	\$10.40	\$10.80	\$12.00
Percentage increase	N/A	2.0% ¹	5.9%	17.6% ²
Number of people impacted by minimum wage options	45,700	53,000	108,100	274,900
Number of people impacted above status quo	N/A	7,300	62,400	229,200
Forecast of constraint on job growth due to minimum wage increase		0	480 - 640	4,280 - 5,710
Economy-wide increase in wages (\$m, annual)	N/A	15	76	518
Inflationary impact (percentage points, CPI)	N/A	0.01	0.04	0.26
Additional wage costs to Government ³ (\$m, annual)	N/A	6	30	119

¹ This increase is in line with the change in the Consumers Price Index (CPI) (June 2010) and average wage change from the Quarterly Employment Survey (QES) (June 2010).

² An option considered in the minimum wage review 2009.

³ This is the additional costs to the Ministries of Health, Social Development and Education, and the Accident Compensation Corporation, from higher wage costs to service providers. It does not include any offset from additional taxes on higher minimum wages.

- 2 The minimum wage review has to consider the Cabinet directed single overarching objective [POL Min(08) 16/21 refers]:

"to set a wage floor that balances the protection of the lowest paid with employment impacts, in the context of current and forecast labour market and economic conditions and impacts"

- 3 The prudent and gradual increases in the minimum wage rate in the past two years have met this objective. The Department considers that a cautious approach to the minimum wage is still warranted. Retaining the status quo (option 1) or a modest increase in line with the CPI (option 2) could be considered given the current subdued economic recovery and labour market.

RELEASED UNDER THE
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Part one – Background and context

- 4 This section sets out the current minimum wage rates, the legislative background, international obligations, the Government's objective for the minimum wage, the economic context in which this review occurs, and labour market conditions.

Current minimum wage rates

- 5 The current minimum wage rates, which are prescribed in the Minimum Wage Order 2010 and took effect from 1 April 2010, are set out below.
- The adult minimum wage is \$12.75 an hour. It applies to all employees aged 16 years and over, who are not new entrants or trainees.
 - The new entrants' minimum wage is \$10.20 an hour. It applies to 16 and 17 year olds except for those employees; who have completed 200 hours or three months of employment, whichever is shorter; who are supervising or training other workers; or who are subject to the training minimum wage.
 - The training minimum wage is \$10.20 an hour. It applies to those employees aged 16 years and over who are undertaking at least 60 credits a year in a registered training programme.
- 6 Under legislation, the new entrants' minimum wage cannot be set at less than 80% of the adult minimum wage.

The legal mechanics of the minimum wage review

The Minimum Wage Act 1983

- 7 Minimum wages are set through an Order in Council made under Section 4 of the Minimum Wage Act 1983 (the Act). The minimum wage provides a floor for wages paid to employees. It is an offence to pay less than the minimum wage.
- 8 Where a minimum wage is set, the Minister of Labour has a statutory obligation under Section 5 of the Act to review the level of the minimum wage by 31 December each year.
- 9 By convention, the Minister of Labour makes a recommendation to Cabinet based on the Department's advice. Should Cabinet decide to increase the minimum wage rates, the Governor-General will give effect to the changes through an Order in Council. Historically, any increases come into effect on or before 1 April.

International obligations

- 10 The minimum wage is part of the Government's general responsibility to ensure socially acceptable employment standards that are prescribed and enforceable. Additionally, there are formal international commitments that establish an explicit obligation on the Government (as a member state) to ensure an adequate minimum wage, including under the Minimum Wage-Fixing Machinery Convention, 1928 (International Labour Organisation (ILO) Convention 26). This convention obliges the Government to create minimum wage-fixing machinery where "no arrangements exist for the

effective regulation of wages ... and wages are exceptionally low". It also recommends that minimum wages should be set according to the general level of wages prevailing in the country, taking account of the necessity to enable the workers concerned to maintain a suitable standard of living. According to the ILO, "The ultimate test of any minimum wage system is its acceptability and effectiveness at a given period of time and its ability to meet the different needs of all parties concerned"⁴.

The Government's objective for the minimum wage

- 11 The Government's objective for the minimum wage is: *"to set a wage floor that balances the protection of the lowest paid with employment impacts, in the context of current and forecast labour market and economic conditions and social impacts"* [CAB Min (08) 35/4 refers]. The minimum wage objective and related criteria provide a framework for assessing the options for the minimum wage (see Appendix 1).

Level of the current minimum wage relative to other benchmarks


- 12 The current adult minimum wage of \$12.75 an hour is significantly higher than the unemployment benefit for a single adult aged 18 to 24 years (\$4.60⁵ an hour based on a 40 hour week). The benefit for a single adult aged 25 years or over is \$5.52 an hour (based on a 40 hour week). These benefit amounts do not include any supplementary assistance, such as the accommodation supplement or temporary GST assistance.
- 13 The current adult minimum wage is around 49.5% of average total hourly earnings (\$25.75 an hour in the Quarterly Employment Survey, September 2010) and 63.75% of median total hourly earnings (\$20.00 an hour in the New Zealand Income Survey, June 2010).
- 14 The current adult minimum wage is lower than the average minimum weekly adult wage in collective agreements. According to the Industrial Relations Centre at Victoria University, the average minimum weekly wage in collective agreements is \$576 a week⁶. This equates to \$14.40 an hour for a 40 hour week. See Figure 1 on the following page for a comparison of the minimum wage options against other income benchmarks.

⁴ International Labour Organisation (1998) "Minimum Wage Fixing: A Summary of Selected Issues", Briefing Note No.14.

⁵ This conversion is only provided for comparative purposes and does not reflect any policy position or view.

⁶ Stephen Blumenfeld and Peter Kiely (2010) "Employment Agreements: Bargaining Trends & Employment Law Update 2008/2009", Wellington.

Figure 1: Adult minimum wage options compared with other income benchmarks



Economic context: labour market conditions and outlook, November 2010

- 15 The current review of the minimum wage takes place amid a slowly recovering labour market following five quarters of recession in 2008/09. This outlook is based on the most current data available to the Department. The main sources of information are Statistics New Zealand, business surveys, and market views.
- 16 As a result of both domestic and global factors, the New Zealand economy contracted over the five quarters to March 2009 with real GDP falling by a total of 3.5%. This made it both the longest and deepest recession for thirty years. However, while the impact of the global financial crisis on New Zealand was significant, it was smaller than for most developed nations. New Zealand's performance was supported by a sound financial system, growth in key trading partners (particularly China and Australia), high commodity prices, positive net migration, and significant monetary and fiscal stimulus.
- 17 These factors also helped pull New Zealand out of recession in the June 2009 quarter although the recovery so far has been mild, particularly compared to previous upturns. Although it has been five quarters since the recession ended, activity remains 1.5% below its pre-recession peak.
- 18 The recovery has been led by the export sector aided by strong commodity prices, robust growth from Asia and a favourable exchange rate with Australia. The latter has contributed to stronger than expected

performance by some manufacturing industries servicing the Australian market.

- 19 In contrast, domestic demand remains subdued. Households continue to be cautious with growth in consumer spending low and activity in the retail sector weak. Weakness in the housing market, low wage growth and many households choosing to repay debt, or save, is constraining economic activity in sectors such as retail and hospitality. We expect growth in these industries to remain relatively mild with households likely to continue to be cautious over 2011, although the Rugby World Cup in late 2011 will provide a one-off boost to these sectors.
- 20 The general view is that inflationary pressures in the economy are low and little pressure is expected from wage cost-push as the labour market remains weak. However, GST and other one-off increases will temporarily boost domestic inflation (as measured by the Consumers Price Index, CPI) towards 4.5% in mid-2011. It is not clear whether the one-off increases in headline inflation will affect price and wage setting behaviour.
- 21 The downturn in the New Zealand economy led to an easing in the labour market during 2008 and 2009 with employment falling by 2.4% from peak to trough and the unemployment rate rising to a ten year high of 7.1% in the December 2009 quarter. While the rise in unemployment was strong, it was from a position of a 22-year low of 3.5% before the recession hit. Therefore, despite the unemployment rate more than doubling, it remains below the 7.9% peak recorded in the 1997/98 recession and the 11.2% peak recorded in the early 1990s.
- 22 The labour market appeared to turn the corner in late 2009/early 2010. Although labour market data has been volatile recently, the unemployment rate has gradually fallen from 7.1% in the December 2009 quarter to 6.4% in the September 2010 quarter. Employment on the other hand has risen by 1.8% over the year to September 2010. This confirms that the labour market recovery is well under way.
- 23 Economic growth is expected to remain relatively weak in the September 2010 quarter, with the average prediction in New Zealand Institute of Economic Research (NZIER) Consensus Forecasts for a 0.7% increase. A number of forward-looking indicators, such as business confidence, eased over the September 2010 quarter suggesting economic growth was low over this period. Furthermore, disruptions from the Canterbury earthquake will act as a drag on growth, with initial estimates from the Treasury estimating it could take 0.4 percentage points off economic growth in the September 2010 quarter.
- 24 However, economic growth is expected to pick up over 2011 on the back of robust trading partner growth, high commodity prices, and reconstruction activity in Canterbury. The 2011 Rugby World Cup will also support activity over the next year. These factors are expected to see the economy grow by more than 3% over 2011.
- 25 Further, the Department expects the labour market to continue to slowly improve over the next year. The November National Bank Business Outlook showed a net 11.5% of firms intend to increase staffing levels over the next year. This is above the long-term average of a net 6.0% and points to

above average employment growth. This is expected to see the unemployment rate trend down gradually over the next year, falling to around 6.0% in mid-2011. An unemployment rate of below 6.0% is historically low and highlights that despite the rise in unemployment over recent years, we predict there will be little spare capacity in the labour market by the end of 2011.

Impact on employers of the 1 April 2010 minimum wage increase

- 26 From 1 July to 6 August 2010, the Department of Labour conducted a survey of 1,766 employers on their attitudes and practices around the change to minimum wage rates in 2010⁷. The survey results were scaled up to represent the entire New Zealand employer population with the exception of Agriculture, Horticulture, and Fisheries industry.
- 27 The survey found that 94% of employers were aware of the April 2010 changes to minimum wage rates and that 20% of employers had paid someone at the minimum wage in the past year. When asked if the 2010 changes had impacted upon their business just under 88% of employers indicated that their business was not affected by the changes (other than increasing the wages of those on the minimum wage)⁸.
- 28 Employers who reported hiring at the minimum wage in the past year were more likely to report being affected by the changes. Of the total of all businesses, 20% of employers who had in the past 12 months hired someone at the minimum wage a total 7.3% reported an impact. A total 4.4% of non-minimum wage employers reported being impacted. Chart 1 below summarises this.
- 29 12% of businesses that reported that their business was affected by the 2010 changes; a number of them reported multiple impacts. A total 7.7% reported absorbing the additional costs. Just over total 4.2% of businesses said that they increased the wages of other staff not on the minimum wage as a result of the changes. Very few employers, at around total 1% reported passing costs onto the consumer while total 1% reporting changing their hiring practice, either recruiting fewer staff or hiring more experienced staff. No-one reported redundancies. Table Two, below, summarises these findings⁹.

⁷ This survey had a total sample of 1,766 employers, with a weighted sample of 1,762 employers. It represents the range of industries in the New Zealand economy with the exception of the Agriculture, Horticulture and Fisheries Industry


⁸ Please note this is because they replied no to the question: *Did this rise have an effect on your business?* In other words, it is the perceptions of the effect of the changes, not actual, verifiable changes.

⁹ Note that this is a weighted sample and that affected businesses could give more than one response when asked about the effects of minimum wage changes on their business.

Table 2: Responses of businesses which reported being affected by the minimum wage changes in 2010

Responses	Total % of all NZ employers	Minimum wage employers	Non minimum wage employers ¹
Absorb costs	7.7	5.7	1.9
Increase the wages of staff not on the minimum wage	4.2	1.8	2.4
Pass costs onto the consumer	1.4	0.8	0.6
Recruit fewer or hire more experienced staff	1.2	0.5	0.7
Tighten costs in other ways	0.7	0.4	0.4
Reduce hours of some employees	0.2	0.2	0
Raise productivity to offset costs	0.1	0.1	0
Redundancy	0	0	0

Chart 1 Proportion of all New Zealand employers reporting on the impact of the minimum wage¹⁰



Please note this data was scaled up from research conducted by the Department of Labour. Due to rounding, the totals will not add to 100%

¹⁰ The range of industries in the New Zealand economy with the exception of the Agriculture, Horticulture, and Fisheries industry

Part two – Options for the minimum wage review 2010

- 30 This section sets out the options considered for this year's review of the minimum wage rates and submitters' views on this year's review.

Options for the minimum wage review 2010

- 31 The Department has examined four options for the adult minimum wage rates in 2011. These options are:

Option 1: Retaining the current adult minimum wage of \$12.75 an hour;

Option 2: An increase to \$13.00 an hour in line with the change in the Consumers Price Index (CPI) in June 2010 and the changes in average wages from the Quarterly Employment Survey (QES) in June 2010;

Option 3: An increase to \$13.50 an hour; and

Option 4: An increase to \$15.00 an hour.

Submitters' views on the minimum wage rate

- 32 The Minister of Labour invited written submissions from Business New Zealand, New Zealand Council of Trade Unions (NZCTU) and other stakeholders. Twelve written submissions were received. A summary of the submissions is attached as Appendix 3 to this report, and relevant submitters' comments have been included throughout this report.

- 33 Three submitters (National Association of Retail Grocers and Supermarkets of New Zealand (NARGON), Federated Farmers of New Zealand, and Hospitality Association of New Zealand) recommended no increase to the minimum wage (Option 1).

- 34 Two submitters (National Advisory Council on the Employment of Women (NACEW), and Mayors' Taskforce for Jobs) recommended an increase in the minimum wage, but did not recommend a specific figure.

- 35 The Small Business Advisory Group recommended a range of options from no change to \$13.00 an hour, with a strong preference to increase the minimum wage rate in line with the change in the CPI (Option 2).

- 36 One submitter (Unite) recommended an increase to \$15.00 an hour (Option 4).

- 37 Five submitters (NZCTU, National Distribution Union, New Zealand Nurses Organisation, Service and Food Workers Union (SFWU), and Working Women's Resource Centre) recommended increasing the minimum wage to \$17.22 an hour¹¹.

¹¹ This is based on 66.0% of the average ordinary time wage of \$25.45 an hour in the June 2010 Quarterly Employment Survey, plus a 2.5% increase in line with the expected wage movement to the end of March 2011. The New Zealand Council of Trade Unions and Service and Food Workers Union also proposed an alternative option of an April 2011 increase to \$15.00 and an increase to \$17.22 in 2012.

Part three – Assessment of the options for the minimum wage review 2010

- 38 This section assesses the options for the minimum wage review 2010 in terms of two criteria. First, the options are assessed in terms of gains and losses from changes in the minimum wage. Matters considered include the impacts of the options on specific population groups and the main social and economic impacts. Second, the options are considered in terms of changes to the minimum wage as part of the broader policy package of Government income and employment-related interventions.

Assessment criterion 1: Gains and losses from changes in the minimum wage

- 39 The first assessment criterion is an assessment of gains and losses from any change to the minimum wage. A number of factors have been identified for consideration in relation to this assessment criterion. These factors have been used in this assessment of the options as much as possible. However, we do not have data available for factors such as the impacts on some types of low paid workers like new migrants, temporary workers, and workers with disabilities.

Number of people affected by the different options

- 40 The following tables estimate the number of workers, aged 16 to 64 years, who would be affected by the minimum wage options.

Table 3: Estimated affected adult workers (18 - 64 years)

Minimum wage option	18 - 64 year olds		18 - 19 year olds		20 - 24 year olds		25 - 64 year olds	
	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number
1. \$12.75	2.0	34,600	15.4	10,200	4.5	8,200	1.1	16,200
2. \$13.00	2.4	41,300	16.3	10,800	5.7	10,500	1.4	19,900
3. \$13.50	5.4	92,000	26.7	17,600	12.5	23,100	3.5	51,400
4. \$15.00	14.8	252,800	50.4	33,300	30.7	56,400	11.2	163,100

Source: 2010 New Zealand Income Survey, Statistics New Zealand

Note: The numbers are rounded to the nearest 100. It is assumed that those earning below the minimum are exempt from being paid the minimum wage.

Table 4: Estimated affected 16-17 year olds

New entrants' minimum wage			Adult minimum wage		
Minimum wage option	%	Number	Minimum wage option	%	Number
1. \$10.20	4.5	1,700	\$12.75	29.8	11,100
2. \$10.40	4.5	1,700	\$13.00	31.4	11,700
3. \$10.80	5.2	1,900	\$13.50	43.1	16,100
4. \$12.00	8.1	3,000	\$15.00	59.4	22,100

Source: 2010 New Zealand Income Survey, Statistics New Zealand.

- 41 In Table 3, it can be seen that a much larger proportion of workers in the 18 to 24 years age group will benefit from an increase in the minimum wage. Table 4 shows that any increase is likely to affect a high proportion of 16 and 17 year olds, as they tend to be paid at or near the minimum wage.
- 42 The estimates in Table 3 and Table 4 are for workers who may directly benefit from the minimum wage options. There may be other impacts as a result of the change of the minimum wage. For example, if the minimum wage increases, employees may choose to (or wish to) work more hours, or employers may choose to offer fewer hours to employees. Employers might change their hiring practice by substituting one type of workers for another, such as employing more experienced workers. This might mean that more 16 and 17 year olds are indirectly affected by the minimum wage change than those aged 18 years and over. Employees earning near the minimum wage may ask for pay increases to keep their current relativity to the minimum wage.

Impacts on employment and unemployment

- 43 Evidence regarding the impact of increasing the minimum wage on employment is mixed. The conventional view on adjustment in the labour market suggests that when the price of labour increases, due to an increase in the minimum wage, there will be a decline in the demand for labour (possibly due to substitution of capital inputs). The industry sectors that are most affected by an increase in the minimum wage may experience a constraint on employment growth.

Research on the effects of the minimum wage

- 44 There is a mix of views on the effects of the minimum wage on employment levels. The ILO has found that whether a minimum wage has a negative or a positive effect on employment depends on many factors such as its relative level, the structure of the labour market, and the country concerned¹².

¹² Youcef, Ghellab (1998) "Minimum Wages and Youth Unemployment", ILO, p.58.

- 45 Research finds minimum wage laws raise pay rates at the bottom of the wage distribution, and this effect is generally associated with lower dispersion of earnings. However, despite considerable efforts, researchers have not identified the effects of minimum wage on other aggregate economic outcomes, such as unemployment and employment¹³.
- 46 Research from the United Kingdom (UK) suggests that minimum wages may simply have no effect on employment, or that minimum wage effects might exist, but they may be too difficult to detect and/or are very small. In any case, with 64 studies containing approximately 1,500 estimates, they conclude that if there is some adverse employment effect from minimum wage rises, it must be of a small and policy-irrelevant magnitude¹⁴.
- 47 Australian research indicates minimum wages may play a role in providing the financial incentives for people to take up, or increase their hours in, jobs paid at minimum wages, or may enhance social inclusion through their role in providing a safety net. Minimum wage decisions may also have employment effects; however, these effects are not clear cut¹⁵.

Impacts on employment growth

- 48 With the mixed results from research elsewhere in mind, the Department has taken a cautious approach and only considered the relationship between the minimum wage and employment growth and unemployment. This approach is also driven by the availability of data.
- 49 The Department has analysed employment impacts with respect to real minimum wage changes (i.e. we adjust for changes in price levels using a relevant price deflator, as discussed below) by using a range of employment adjustment factors (elasticities) that are broadly derived from econometric analysis conducted by the Department and others¹⁶. It is important to note that our analytical framework assumes that everything else remains the same and it does not specifically deal with important economy-wide and other feedback effects, some of which may have a positive impact (e.g. productivity, demand and fiscal effects), while others may be negative (international competition)¹⁷. The timing of the adjustment is also simplified and a one-year adjustment period is assumed.
- 50 The concept of "real minimum wage changes" is important for our discussion here. For example, Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour) implies a 2.0% nominal increase and Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) a 5.9% nominal increase. However, it is important to consider these nominal minimum wage increases relative to changes in the output prices that a firm can expect.

¹³ Freeman, R. (2007) *Labour Market Institutions around the World*, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge.

¹⁴ Doucouliagos, Hristos and Stanley, T.D. (2009) "Publication Selection Bias In Minimum-Wage Research? A Meta-Regression Analysis", *British Journal of Industrial relations*, 406-428.

¹⁵ Neims, Lucy and Dr Constantine Tsingas (2010) *Literature review on social inclusion and its relationship to minimum wages and workforce participation*, Research Report, Minimum Wage and Research Branch, Fair Work Australia.

¹⁶ More information on the adjustment factors used is available from the Department of Labour.

¹⁷ One could argue that all these effects are "loaded" into the econometric estimates but they are not explicit.

- 51 Real changes in minimum wage options are adjusted using the Producers Price Index-Outputs (PPI-O). This measures the average change in output (selling) prices of firms across the whole economy.
- 52 Forecasts of changes in the PPI-O were obtained from the latest (December 2010) Quarterly Predictions, published by NZIER. NZIER forecasts that the PPI-O will increase by 4.4% over the year to March 2012. This is a relevant estimate for the period of the minimum wage options, which will be effective from 1 April 2011.
- 53 Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour) does not constitute an increase in the real value of the minimum wage; while Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) would result in an increase of 1.5% in real terms.
- 54 Table 6 below sets out aggregate estimates of the level of possible job losses that may result from minimum wage increases. Currently, the Household Labour Force Survey for September 2010 reports that the total number of people employed is 2,193,000.
- 55 This is projected to increase by 22,000 jobs to 2,215,000 jobs in the March 2011 quarter according to the Department's most recent forecast (of 0.5% per quarter). From March 2011, employment is currently expected to increase by 1% (or a further 22,100 jobs) to 2,237,100 in March 2012¹⁸.

Table 5: Summary of impacts of minimum wage increases on employment levels (March 2011 – March 2012)¹⁹

Option	Projected job growth (% increase in parenthesis)	Potential loss in job growth (absolute change)	Potential loss in job growth (% change)
1. \$12.75	22,150 (1.0%)	N/A	N/A
2. \$13.00	22,150 (1.0%)	0	0
3. \$13.50	21,460 (1.0%) - 22,620 (1.0%)	480 - 640	0.02 - 0.03%
4. \$15.00	16,390 (0.7%) - 17,820 (0.8%)	4,280 - 5,710	0.19 - 0.26%

Source: Department of Labour calculations

High and low scenarios of the minimum wage impacts

- 56 The Department has calculated high and low scenarios for employment impacts, with the scenario based on higher and lower employment adjustment factors (i.e. that employment is more or less sensitive to changes in the minimum wage).

¹⁸ The NZIER consensus forecast is used here and already implicitly assumes impacts on employment due to minimum wage increases, but the magnitude of this impact is unknown.

¹⁹ We have used single estimates for the wages of 16 and 17 year old workers, as the data suggests that the majority are earning the adult minimum wage or more and we are unable to estimate how many 16 and 17 year olds may be eligible for the new entrants' minimum wage (for example, when they will have completed the service requirement under the new entrants' minimum wage). The data therefore assumes that all 16 and 17 year olds are eligible to earn (at least) the adult minimum wage.

- 57 There are no negative impacts on employment growth expected with Options 1 (status quo) and 2 (\$13.00 an hour) since they do not result in a real increase in the minimum wage.
- 58 Under Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour), employment growth is estimated to be lower by between 480 and 640 jobs which is negligible in terms of total employment. Employment growth is estimated to be reduced by between 4,280 and 5,710 jobs under Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour).
- 59 The estimate can be further broken down by age group. While the 18-19 year old group is not expected to be affected, employment growth of the 16-17 year old group may be fewer by between 40 and 220 jobs under Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour), and fewer by between 370 and 1,980 jobs under Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour).

Impact on unemployment

- 60 It is not straightforward to estimate the impact on unemployment of a minimum wage increase. There is no data on whether a minimum wage increase results in people who are unable to find a job deciding whether or not to be in the labour force. If they leave the labour market (or do not enter it) because they are going to study or look after children, for example, they will not be counted as unemployed. If people are still actively looking for work but are unable to find a job they will be counted as unemployed.
- 61 Estimates of the unemployment rate can be based on the Reserve Bank forecasts of the unemployment rate of 6% for March 2011 and 5.5% for March 2012. These estimates are the latest available from a public sector agency.
- 62 As with employment growth discussed in Table 6, Option 1 (\$12.75 an hour) and Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour) are not expected to have any impacts because these options do not constitute a real increase in the minimum wage.
- 63 Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour) is estimated to increase the number of unemployed persons by 0.5%, leaving the unemployment rate virtually unchanged at 5.5% in the March 2012 quarter.
- 64 Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour) is estimated to increase the number of unemployed persons by up to 4.7%, which would result in the unemployment rate increasing to 5.7% in the March 2012 quarter.

Impact on low paid workers

- 65 Youth, women, Māori, Pacific people and part-time workers are more likely to be low paid workers and they are more likely to benefit from any increase in the minimum wage. However, they may also be the first to experience any negative impacts that could result from a change in the minimum wage (e.g. reduced hours offered or substitution of some groups of workers for others). Table 6 shows the demographic and job characteristics for workers paid at the minimum wage rate.

Table 6: Percentage of workers paid at the current minimum wage, by demographic group

Demographic group	% of workers
Aged 18-24	56.5%
Female	57.1%
Married	36.6%
European/Pakeha	51.8%
Māori	20.8%
Pacific	5.6%
Part-time	56.0%
Post school qualification	37.2%

Source: 2010 New Zealand Income Survey, Statistics New Zealand.

Young people

- 66 Over half of those earning the minimum wage are between 18 and 24 years of age. A high proportion of 16 and 17 year olds are also paid at or near the minimum wage. Therefore, an increase in the minimum wage is likely to affect a very large number of young people already in work.
- 67 In a survey carried out by the Department in 2010, 10% of employers reported employing a young person aged 16 or 17 years in the past three months. Of these employers, only a third said that they used the new entrants' minimum wage. The most common reasons for not paying the new entrants' minimum wage was that the rate was too low or not fair, or that the job was skilled.
- 68 In its submission the NZCTU states that it is unfair to reward young workers less for the same work as an older or more experienced employee. The NZCTU also states that there is no evidence to support that work done by younger and new workers is inherently of lesser value than the work done by others.
- 69 The Mayors' Taskforce for Jobs submitted that continued minimum wage protection was necessary for young people to encourage employers and others to invest in skill development, particularly in the trades, and to avoid increasing the disparity in wage levels between Australia and New Zealand. Where young people are working alongside others doing the same work, there seems no justification for lower wages on the grounds of age. Information from Mayors around the country suggests that the level of wages and any increases have not resulted in constraints on job creation or fewer opportunities for young people. There is no evidence that raising wages has resulted in young people leaving school early.
- 70 Hospitality Association of New Zealand and NARGON are concerned that continued increases in the minimum wage could send a signal to some younger people that further formal education and training is not warranted.

They claimed that increases in minimum wage rates for those undertaking training, including on-the-job training, would deter many employers from offering training opportunities to young people.

Women

- 71 Women tend to be highly represented in a number of demographic characteristics associated with low pay (for example, those working part-time, in service occupations). Table 6 shows that of those currently earning the minimum wage, 57.1% are women.
- 72 The Department calculates that among the population aged 18 to 64 years the average hourly wage for females is 85.8% of the average hourly wage for males. Thus, female wage and salary workers earn 14.2% less than males per hour²⁰. We estimate that this would not change significantly under Options 2 and 3. This is in line with previous impacts estimated by the Department²¹.
- 73 In its submission the National Advisory Committee on the Employment of Women said that even a moderate increase to the minimum wage will have a direct positive impact on women's economic conditions and their families. The majority of members strongly endorse an increase in the minimum wage as part of a range of mechanisms that increase the likelihood of women achieving financial security and independence.

Māori and Pacific people

- 74 Māori and Pacific people are overrepresented amongst those in low paid employment and are more likely to benefit from an increase in the minimum wage, compared with people of European descent.
- 75 NZCTU, SFWU and NACEW believe the minimum wage is an essential mechanism to close the pay gap for Māori, Pacific, and female workers.

Part-time workers

- 76 Part-time workers are more likely to be low paid. Table 6 shows that 56% of people paid at the minimum wage work part-time. Therefore, any increase in the minimum wage will directly benefit a large proportion of low paid, part-time workers.

Post school qualifications

- 77 Only 37.2% of workers earning \$12.75 an hour have a post school qualification, which is below the labour force average. Therefore, workers with a post school qualification are less likely to be affected by a modest minimum wage change.

²⁰ This calculation excludes all workers who reported an hourly wage below the adult minimum wage of \$12.75.

²¹ Historically, impacts on the gender pay gaps were: in 2006, 87.0% to 87.1%; in 2007, 84.3% to 84.4%; in 2008, 85.0% to 87.6%; and in 2009, 85.6% to 85.7%.

Impact on wage earnings, nominal Gross Domestic Product and inflation

78 Table 7 examines the impacts of each option in terms of the numbers of workers affected, the estimated increase in weekly wage earnings, the potential inflationary impact, and economy wide increase in wages.

Table 7: Economy-wide impacts of increases in the minimum wage in 2011 on wage earnings and nominal GDP (inflation)

Options	Workers between current and new minimum wage	Wage earnings increase (weekly, '000)	Economy-wide increase in wages (\$m, annual)	Increase in economy wide weekly wage earnings (% including wage earnings of self-employment)	Increase in nominal GDP / inflation (% points)
1. \$12.75	45,700	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. \$13.00	53,000	289	15	0.02%	0.01
3. \$13.50	108,100	1,456	76	0.09%	0.04
4. \$15.00	274,900	9,955	518	0.62%	0.26

Source: New Zealand Income Survey June 2010, Statistics New Zealand and Department of Labour calculations.

Impact on industry sectors

79 The impact of a minimum wage increase varies across sectors. To illustrate this, Table 8 shows the estimated number of workers by sector that would be subject to a minimum wage of \$13.00 per hour (Option 2).

²² We have used single estimates for the wages of 16 and 17 year old workers, as the data suggests that the majority are earning the adult minimum wage or more. We are unable to estimate how many 16 and 17 year olds may be eligible for the new entrants' minimum wage (for example when they will have completed the service requirement under the new entrants' minimum wage). The data therefore assumes that all 16 and 17 year olds are eligible to earn (at least) the adult minimum wage. The results therefore represent an upper bound estimate.

Table 8: Impact of adult minimum wage option of \$13.00, by sector, for all workers aged 16-64 years

Industry	Subject to the minimum wage of \$13.00 per hour			
	Percentage of workers	Number of workers	Percentage of weekly hours	Percentage of weekly earnings
Hospitality	10.8	10,700	7.4	5.4
Retail	9.1	16,700	5.6	3.9
Agriculture	4.4	3,600	3.7	2.4
Personal Services	3.7	2,300	3.4	1.9
Administration and Support Services	3.6	1,600	2.9	1.7
Media and Communications	3.1	1,100	1.9	0.8
Rental, Hiring and Real Estate	3.0	600	1.9	0.9
Transport and Storage	2.2	1,600	1.4	0.8
Construction	1.8	2,200	1.7	0.9
Manufacturing	1.7	3,600	1.4	0.8
Health Care and Social Assistance	1.7	3,400	1.3	0.6
Wholesale	1.1	1,000	0.9	0.4
Education	1.1	2,000	0.9	0.4
Arts, Recreation and Other Services	1.1	300	1.2	0.6
Professional, Scientific and Technical	0.7	900	0.3	0.1
Finance	0.6	300	0.7	0.3

Source: Statistics New Zealand

80 This table shows that if the minimum wage was increased to \$13.00 an hour, hospitality is likely to be the sector most affected, with around 10.8% of its workers currently paid at or below this level, followed by retail trade (9.1%) and agriculture (4.4%).

81 The Department's survey of employers in 2010 showed that 19% of employers paid someone at the minimum wage in the past year. Large businesses with more employees were more likely to have hired someone on the minimum wage in the past year. While over one third of large (20 to 49 employees) and very large (more than 50 employees) businesses had hired at least one person on the minimum wage, only 13.0% of small business and a quarter of medium-sized businesses from the sample had done so.

82 New Zealand research found that firms respond in a number of ways to minimum wage increases²³. The most common response was to reduce wage relativities across their staff. Other responses include reducing the number of hours of work offered to staff, tightening employment policy, not

²³ Dalziel, P et al (2006). *Firm Responses to Changes in the Minimum Wage*, Canterbury, AERU Research Unit, Lincoln University. This is available from the Department on request.

replacing workers who resign, attempting to increase productivity, attempting to reduce costs, raising prices where possible, reducing profits and business closure. More generally, firms' responses were based on supply and demand variables. The sectors most affected by minimum wage increases (the retail and hospitality sectors) had more scope to raise prices, as they supply non-tradable products to the domestic market²⁴.

- 83 Research from overseas suggests that increases in the minimum wage may have a small negative impact on profitability, but finds no evidence of this increasing the probability of firm closure²⁵.
- 84 NARGON submits that minimum wage increases will increase the costs of goods and services and reduce the employment opportunities.
- 85 The National Distribution Union submits low pay is prevalent in the retail sector and impacts negatively on most retail workers. Pay rises in retail, fast food and similar customer services industries have become increasingly dependent on increases in the minimum wage. An increase in the minimum wage is a very effective means of delivering a fiscal stimulus to an economy in recession, as those on low incomes are more likely to spend any additional income. Minimum wage increases are affordable for retail employers as the retail sector has experienced enormous growth for more than 10 years until the onset of the recession.

Impact on the state sector

- 86 There are a number of state sector employees and contractors on low wages, particularly in the public health and compulsory education sectors. Increases in the minimum wage are therefore likely to have direct (and possibly also indirect or 'flow-on') costs for some state sector employers. The Department considers that it is likely that organisations will seek additional funding to compensate for higher wage costs. It is also possible that, following a minimum wage increase, state sector employees may bargain with their employers to retain relativities and this may also increase the costs to government.
- 87 In Table 8, government employees are included in the education, business services, government and health and community services sectors. However, it is difficult to isolate the impact on public sector employees as these categories will also include private sector employees. The Ministries of Health, Social Development and Education and the Accident Compensation Corporation have identified areas which are more likely to be impacted by changes in the minimum wage. There might be other government agencies, crown entities, or state sector organisations which may be affected by a change in the minimum wage, but we do not have valid data to estimate the impacts on them.

²⁴ Ibid

²⁵ Denvir A. and G. Loukas (2006) *The Impact of the National Minimum Wage: Pay Differentials*. Available at: www.lowpay.gov.uk/lowpay/rep_research_index.shtml. Draca M., S. Machin and J. Van Reenen (2008) *minimum wages and Firm Profitability*. NBER Working Paper 13966. Available at: www.nber.org/papers/w13966.

Ministry of Health

- 88 The Ministry of Health has identified two areas in which workers are paid low wages and will therefore be most affected by an increase in minimum wage rates. These are aged care workers and disability support workers. In addition, minimum wage rises are likely to indirectly affect the mental health support workforce. The fiscal impact of an increase in the minimum wage for the health of older people services and disability support services is outlined in the following table:

Table 9: Fiscal impact on Ministry of Health programmes²⁶

Option	Impact on all Health of older people services ²⁷	Impact on Disability support services ²⁸
Option 1 \$12.50	No change	No change
Option 2. \$13.00	\$0.62m	\$2.91m
Option 3. \$13.50	\$12.93m	\$8.94m
Option 4. \$15.00	\$62.54m	\$30.31m

- 89 While the estimated 4,000 workers in the mental health community support workforce are generally paid above the minimum wage, any rise in the minimum wage would put pressure on providers to maintain wage relativity between the community support workforce and minimum wage workers.

Ministry of Social Development

- 90 The Ministry of Social Development (MSD) advises that there will be a number of impacts on them from any change to the minimum wage. The most direct effects are expected to be felt on the Ministry's Home Help programme and on the Community Max programme.

Home Help

- 91 The purpose of the Home Help programme is to provide financial assistance to certain people who require temporary part-time help to complete domestic tasks normally performed in their homes. Under the programme, the hourly rate that may be paid for a home helper is \$13.42 plus 8% for holiday pay. The Government, by convention, increases the home help hourly rate by the same percentage as any increase in the minimum wage. This ensures that the amount paid for home help workers does not fall below the minimum wage. The expected increase in Home Help's fiscal costs related to an increase in the minimum wage are outlined in the following table:

²⁶ These calculations do not include the possible additional costs of sleepovers

²⁷ The calculations for Health of Older People services costs are based on extrapolations of data gathered in a 2009 survey of residential care facilities but this does not take into account any wage relativity costs (this factor could substantially increase the costs).

²⁸ This is based on very high level estimates as Disability Support Services does not have detailed wage rate data from providers.

Table 10: Fiscal impact on MSD's Home Help programme

Year	Option 2 \$13.00 an hour	Option 3 \$13.50 an hour	Option 4 \$15.00 an hour
2010/11	\$ 11,000	\$32,000	\$96,000
2011/12	\$ 43,000	\$128,000	\$384,000
2012/13	\$ 42,000	\$126,000	\$378,000
2013/14	\$ 42,000	\$125,000	\$376,000

Community Max

- 92 Any increase in the minimum wage will have an impact on the Community Max programme because the Community Max wage subsidy is paid at the adult minimum wage rate. Expected increases in Community Max's fiscal costs related to an increase in the minimum wage are:

Table 11: Fiscal impact on MSD's Community Max programme

Year	Option 2 \$13.00 an hour	Option 3 \$13.50 an hour	Option 4 \$15.00 an hour
2010/11	\$ 77,000	\$231,000	\$692,000
2011/12	\$ 65,000	\$195,000	\$584,000

Ministry of Education

- 93 The Ministry of Education notes that an increase in the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour would have a fiscal impact on approximately 235 state and integrated school teaching positions (for teachers without any recognised qualifications or training) funded by Vote: Education and on the Early Childhood Education (ECE) Funding Rates.
- 94 An increase in the minimum wage is also likely to have a direct fiscal impact on funding the 27,000 non-teaching positions in state and integrated schools. However, the lowest rate in the non-teaching collective agreement that covers about 75% of this workforce is \$14.00 an hour, so the impact would be more significant with option 4.
- 95 Based on an October 2010 pay period, the cost of increasing the minimum adult rate for the 3,600 full-time equivalents paid under \$15.00 an hour in the state compulsory schooling sector is \$32,000 per annum for Option 2, \$169,000 for Option 3 and \$4.463 million for Option 4.

Accident Compensation Corporation

96 The Accident Compensation Commission (ACC) provides Home and Community Support Services (HCSS) which includes attendant care (i.e. personal care,) home help, and childcare services. Clients can choose to have their HCSS provided by an ACC contracted agency, or they can employ their own carers directly. In the 2009/10 financial year, approximately 21,000 clients received HCSS at an annual cost of \$144 million. Increases to the minimum wage would have a corresponding impact on HCSS as shown in the following table.

Table 12: Average annual costs associated with increases in HCSS rates for the minimum wage options (includes both contracted and non-contracted providers)

Minimum wage option	Total cost
2. \$13.00	\$2.3 million
3. \$13.50	\$6.9 million
4. \$15.00	\$20.7 million

Summary of the impacts on the four agencies

97 For the above four government agencies, total annual costs directly related to a minimum wage increase could increase by:

- \$6 million for Option 2 (\$13.00 an hour);
- \$30 million for Option 3 (\$13.50 an hour); and
- \$119 million for Option 4 (\$15.00 an hour).

Other fiscal impacts

98 As well as impacting on wage costs, increasing the minimum wage will have other fiscal impacts. However, it is difficult to assess the net effect of these impacts. Social assistance costs through benefit payments may rise, if a rise in minimum wage increases unemployment. However, higher incomes lead to the abatement of social assistance and can increase the amount of income tax received. For example, the Working for Families Scheme which is targeted at those low-to-middle income families with dependent children will be moderately impacted by an increase in the minimum wage. It is important to take into account that the modelling sample is relatively small and the impact has not been assessed in this year's review.

Other considerations

Consistency with the principles of fairness, protection, income distribution and work incentives

- 99 Table 13 shows the options assessed against the principles of fairness, protection, income distribution and work incentives. These principles are not weighted and the assessment does not take account of other impacts. An explanation of these principles and the benchmarks used to assess them is in Appendix 1. It is important to note that the principles do not include measures such as "forecast of constraint on job growth".

Table 13: Options assessed against the principles of fairness, protection, income distribution and work incentives

Option	Assessment against the principles ²⁹
\$12.75	This option would erode existing levels of <i>fairness</i> for minimum wage workers when compared with other workers who are experiencing changes in average wages and <i>protection</i> for minimum wage workers who tend to have a relative lack of bargaining power to negotiate higher wages. <i>Income distribution</i> reduces relative to other workers whose income increases. <i>Work incentives</i> are impacted as there is an erosion of the difference between the minimum wage rate and benefit levels (which are adjusted annually in line with the CPI).
\$13.00	This option will maintain current levels of <i>fairness</i> and <i>income distribution</i> and existing <i>work incentives</i> as it is an increase in line with the change in consumer prices and average wages. It may erode existing levels of <i>protection</i> .
\$13.50	This option will increase existing levels of <i>fairness</i> and <i>income distribution</i> . It may increase or maintain <i>work incentives</i> , as it is likely to have a higher percentage increase than benefits. The size of the increase is greater than movements in average minimum wages in collective agreements so it is likely to improve current level of <i>protection</i> .
\$15.00	This option will strongly improve relative levels of <i>fairness</i> , <i>protection</i> , <i>income distribution</i> and <i>work incentives</i> as the increase is higher than the benchmarks used for comparison.

Comparison with international benchmarks

- 100 Internationally, minimum wage levels vary. There are a number of factors that might contribute towards cross-country differences in minimum wage levels. In many countries, despite the economic recession, minimum wages have continued to increase, either as the result of long-term adjustment plans or reviews of their domestic economic and labour market situations³⁰. This year developed countries, such as the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada, Turkey, and Poland, increased their minimum wage rate.
- 101 Among the 21 OECD countries, seven countries (Australia, Belgium, France, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands, and the United Kingdom) have higher minimum wage rates than New Zealand. If we compare the monetary value

²⁹ The principles do not include other impacts such as "forecast of constraint on job growth". (Please see Table 1 for this information)

³⁰ ILO (2009) *Global Wage Report*.

of the minimum wage across countries by using purchasing power parity (PPP)³¹, New Zealand's minimum wage ranks seventh amongst the OECD countries.

Table 14: Comparison of minimum wage levels, by country, 2009

	Hourly rate in NZ\$	In NZ\$ using PPPs	Date of last up-rating	Age full minimum wage usually applies
France	\$19.44	\$15.84	Jul-09	18
Australia	\$17.85	\$15.57	Oct-08	21
Belgium	\$18.54	\$15.10	Oct-09	21
Netherlands	\$17.79	\$14.97	Jul-09	23
United Kingdom	\$14.35	\$14.35	Oct-09	22
Ireland	\$19.07	\$13.44	Jul-07	20
New Zealand	\$12.50	\$12.45	Apr-09	16
Canada	\$12.63	\$12.13	Jan-00	16
United States	\$11.43	\$11.81	Jul-09	20
Spain	\$10.78	\$10.10	Jan-09	16
Japan	\$12.04	\$8.96	Oct-09	15/18
Greece	\$9.10	\$ 8.61	May-09	15
Portugal	\$5.71	\$5.89	Jan-09	16

Source: The UK Low Pay Commission Report on minimum wage 2010³²

* Exchange rate source Reserve Bank New Zealand average rates for 2009

102 As a proportion of the average wage, New Zealand's minimum wage is high compared to other OECD countries. As at 2008, New Zealand's minimum wage was the second highest of 21 OECD countries with available data, second only to France.

103 The Department considers that a comparison with Australia is useful due to its close economic connections to New Zealand and the relatively free movement of labour between the two countries. The Australian Federal minimum wage increased by 4.8% from AU\$14.31 to AU\$15.00 following the 2009-2010 review. This equates to NZ\$19.31 on 1 November 2010³³. According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, the average wage in Australia in 2010 is AU\$64,641, making its minimum wage about 45.2% of the average wage. The New Zealand minimum wage is 49.5% of the hourly average wage.

³¹ PPP measures the monetary amount needed to buy the same representative basket of consumer goods and services in each country and allows a more accurate comparison of standards of living across countries than exchange rates.

³² Information can be accessed at <http://www.lowpay.gov.uk/>

³³ Based on an exchange rate of 0.7769 from New Zealand Reserve Bank.


Submitters' views on minimum wage levels compared with international benchmarks

- 104 Submitters expressed a variety of opinions on the appropriate level and setting for the minimum wage in relation to other countries' minimum wages. The NZCTU states that Australia's minimum wage is considerably higher than New Zealand's in whatever terms it is compared, and this is damaging to the New Zealand economy as it results in net outflows of people to Australia. The New Zealand Nurses Organisation submits that the minimum wage is the only factor protecting New Zealand's labour market from further disparity with comparable OECD countries, particularly Australia. The Mayors' Taskforce for Jobs commented that continued minimum wage protection is necessary to avoid increasing wage disparities between Australia and New Zealand.
- 105 Federated Farmers considers that further increases in the minimum wage will hinder productivity growth and work against the Government's goal of closing the income gap with Australia.

Changes in the minimum wage compared against the CPI and PPI

- 106 Figure 2 illustrates how changes in the adult minimum wage have been tracking against three other benchmarks since 1997/98: average wages, PPI and CPI. The minimum wage had been increasing at a faster rate than those benchmarks shown until 2008. Over 2006-2008, the minimum wage rates rose sharply as the Government made increases to reach an adult minimum wage of \$12.00 an hour in 2008. The increases were higher than changes in inflation and average wages. This was in part seen as "catching up" following little change to the minimum wage rates over the 1990s.
- 107 Since 2008, the Government has made modest increases to the minimum wage in line with changes in the CPI. The increases have been lower than increases in the average wage.

Figure 2: Average wage, Producers Price Index (outputs), Consumers Price Index and the adult minimum wage (2000/01=100)



Source: Statistics New Zealand

Impact on the rate of non-compliance

108 It is possible that increasing the minimum wage may increase non-compliance with the minimum wage legislation.

109 Over the last ten years there has been an increase in the proportion of individuals reporting below minimum wages in the New Zealand Income Survey. For example, the share of 16 and 17 year olds below the minimum wage increased from around 5% in 1999 to 16% in 2004; 18 and 19 year olds below minimum wage increased by over 20 percentage points between 2000 and 2006; and between 2004 and 2006, 20 to 24 year olds paid below minimum wage increased by 4 percentage points and 25 to 64 year olds by 2 percentage points.

110 Using existing data sources it is not possible to identify whether an increase in the share of workers reporting below minimum wages is caused by an increase in exemptions of the minimum wage, measurement error or non-compliance. The Department considers that growth in the proportion of below minimum wage workers appears to be short-lived and is related to when the minimum wage increase impacts a relatively large share of the workforce.

111 A rise in the proportions of workers below minimum wages can be expected with high increases in the minimum wage. However, over the last couple of years the increases in the minimum wage have slowed while the proportion of workers below the minimum wage continue to rise at an accelerated pace.

112 The Department will continue monitoring the proportion of workers reporting below minimum wage rates in case this does not decline as expected.

RELEASED UNDER THE
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Assessment criterion 2: Other income and employment-related interventions

113 Assessment criterion 2 considers changes in the minimum wage as part of the broader package of income and employment-related interventions. For this assessment criterion, the Department has considered various government interventions and their effects.

Interface with other government interventions

114 There are a range of government interventions and initiatives aimed at protecting employment and increasing incomes. These interventions encompass labour market policies, the taxation system, and education and training policies, among which taxation generally has a more direct impact on low paid people.

Taxation

115 The progressive nature of the individual income tax system in New Zealand generally ensures that those on the lowest incomes pay a smaller proportion of their income in tax than individuals on higher incomes. Furthermore, families with dependent children on low to middle incomes can receive tax credits to boost their net family income to help meet the costs of raising a family. Individuals who do not qualify for the Working for Families tax credits, or for New Zealand Superannuation or an income-tested benefit, may qualify for the independent earner tax credit. The independent earner tax credit is \$10 a week for individuals earning between \$24,000 and \$44,000 a year. The credit abates at 13% for income over \$44,000. Individuals with a student loan are required to pay 10% of their income over \$19,084 towards repaying their loan.

116 The rates of tax on individual incomes recently decreased. The rates for those on low incomes dropped from 12.5% to 10.5% for income up to \$14,000 a year and from 21% to 17.5% for income between \$14,000 and \$48,000 a year. The decrease in individual income tax came into effect from 1 October 2010. At the same time the rate of GST payable on goods and services increased from 12.5% to 15%. The net result from these two changes for those earning around the minimum wage is expected to be a small increase in disposable income³⁴.

117 To compensate for the expected impact on living expenses of the increase in the GST rate, from 1 October 2010 the rates of the family tax credit were increased by 2%. From 1 April 2011 the rate of the minimum family tax credit will be increased to reflect inflation in the 2010 calendar year. Working families with dependent children will have their net family income topped up to \$427 (equivalent to a gross weekly income of \$495).

³⁴ Someone on a minimum wage might be better off by around \$2 - \$6 a week depending on how much of their income is spent on rent.

118 The Department recognises that these interventions and initiatives play an important role in supporting New Zealanders, especially those in need. However, the Department considers that because minimum wage increases benefit all minimum wage earners, irrespective of their family status, the minimum wage continues to usefully complement other instruments to improve the income levels of low income workers and households.

Summary

119 The Department's examination of the current labour market conditions suggests that the options for changes to the minimum wage could have the following effects:

- **Option 1 of \$12.75 an hour** will erode the real value of the minimum wage. It is estimated that there would be no impact on employment growth, national weekly wage earnings or inflation
- **Option 2 of \$13.00 an hour** maintains the real value of the minimum wage (based on changes in consumer prices) and relativity with benefit payments. This option could directly affect up to 53,000 workers; it is likely that it would not constrain employment growth. It could increase annual economy-wide wages by \$15 million and inflation by 0.01 percentage points.
- **Option 3 of \$13.50 an hour** will increase existing levels of fairness and income distribution and may increase or maintain protection and work incentives. This option could directly affect up to 108,100 workers. It may constrain employment growth by between 480 and 640 jobs. It could increase annual economy-wide wages by \$76 million and inflation by 0.04 percentage points
- **Option 4 of \$15.00 an hour** would increase the real value of the minimum wage and its relativity with other income benchmarks. It could strongly improve relative levels of fairness, protection, income distribution and work incentives. It could directly affect up to 274,900 workers and constrain employment growth by up to 5,710 jobs. It could increase annual economy-wide wages by \$518 million and inflation by 0.26 percentage points.

Appendix 1: Cabinet approved objective and assessment criteria

Background

- 120 In December 2007, Cabinet directed the Department to undertake a further examination of the objectives for the minimum wage, to ensure they remain applicable and supportive of the Government's goals [POL MIN (07) 28/24 refers].
- 121 In September 2008, Cabinet agreed to a new overarching objective for the annual minimum wage review [POL MIN (08) 16/21 refers].

Overarching objective

"to set a wage floor that balances the protection of the lowest paid with employment impacts, in the context of current and forecast labour market and economic conditions and social impacts"

Assessment criteria

- A. "the extent to which any change to the minimum wage would produce gains that are more significant than any losses"
- B. "consideration of whether a change to the minimum wage would be the best way to protect the lowest paid in the context of the broader package of income and employment-related interventions, and would meet the broader objectives of government"

Factors to be considered in relation to the first assessment criterion

- A. consistency with the principles of fairness, protection, income distribution, and work incentives
- B. comparison against international/OECD benchmarks
- C. comparison of the level of the minimum wage, and any proposals to change that level, against other income benchmarks (benefit rates, the minimum rate of wage averaged across collective agreements, the producers price index, median wages, and average wages). This analysis can also provide a measure of any changes in income inequality
- D. consideration of forecast social and economic impacts relevant to changing the level of the minimum wage including:
- a. the positive and negative impacts on those most likely to be low paid including: women, new migrants, Māori, Pacific people, part-time workers, temporary workers, those with a disability, and young people, including any (dis)incentive effects for young people to choose low paid employment or additional education and training
 - b. the net effects after corresponding withdrawal of social assistance
 - c. impacts on the gender pay gap
- E. consideration of the forecast labour market/economic conditions and impacts (together with a range of possible economic conditions) relevant to changing the minimum wage including:

- a. earnings and the wage bill
- b. employment and unemployment
- c. labour productivity
- d. the number of employees and the hours they work
- e. industry sectors
- f. Gross Domestic Product and inflation

F. potential impacts on the rate of non-compliance

Explanation of the principles

Fairness

122 To ensure that wages paid are no lower than a socially acceptable minimum. There are two main views on what constitutes a socially acceptable minimum. The first option is to determine social acceptability as a proportion of how much other workers earn (e.g. average wages). The second option is to view social acceptability through determining the amount needed to maintain a set standard of living, adjusted for inflation. Benchmarks: average wages and CPI.

Protection

123 To offer wage protection to vulnerable workers so that workers are paid wages that reflect their worth or productivity. Workers may be "underpaid" on this measure when they have a relative lack of bargaining power, such as when they have limited bargaining strength (e.g. are not collectivised and unable to bargain for higher wages), or face risks in leaving and finding another job (e.g. poor English, disability), or have poor income or employment alternatives (e.g. they do not qualify for unemployment benefits). Benchmarks: minimum wages paid under collective agreements.

Income distribution

124 To ensure that earnings of people on low incomes do not deteriorate relative to those of other workers. This is likely to reflect a preference that society has for the degree of wage (and income) equality, and this may promote greater social cohesion. The effectiveness of minimum wages to achieve this objective depends on whether employment effects occur, since the income of workers is significantly lowered where they may lose their jobs or have their work hours cut. The current minimum wage is about 50 per cent of average total hourly earnings and 64 per cent of median total hourly earnings (using the Quarterly Employment Survey). Benchmarks: changes in average and median wages.

Work incentives

125 To increase the incentives to work, for people considering work. Creating the correct incentives requires the minimum wage to be set at a level that makes work attractive compared to not working. The minimum wage needs to be above benefit levels (and associated employment costs such as childcare and transport costs that are payable by a worker). At some point,

however, a higher minimum wage can frustrate work incentives through economic effects that restrict job opportunities available to low skilled workers. Benchmarks: benefit levels and costs associated with working.

RELEASED UNDER THE
OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Appendix 2: Recent changes to the minimum wage

126 The following table sets out changes in minimum wage rates since 2003. It also shows how minimum wages have tracked over time as a proportion of average earnings using the Quarterly Employment Survey (QES).

Table 15: Recent changes in minimum wage rates

	Key changes in minimum wages	Minimum wage rates % increase % of average wages		
		Adult	Youth	New entrants
2003	All minimum wages increased by 6.3%, higher than average wage increase of 2.7% from the year 2002. Training minimum wage (set at the youth minimum wage) came into effect from 1 July 2003.	\$8.50 6.3% 43.3%	\$6.80 6.3%	-
2004	All minimum wages increased by 5.9%, higher than average wage increase of 3.7%.	\$9.00 5.9% 44.3%	\$7.20 5.9%	-
2005	All minimum wages increased by 5.6%, higher than average wage increase of 2.7%.	\$9.50 5.6% 44.9%	\$7.60 5.6%	-
2006	All minimum wages increased by 7.9%, higher than average wage increase of 2.7%.	\$10.25 7.9% 46.1%	\$8.20 7.9%	-
2007	All minimum wages increased by 9.8%, higher than average wage increase of 4.2%.	\$11.25 9.8% 49.3%	\$9.00 9.8%	-
2008	Adult minimum wage increased by 6.7%, higher than the average wage increase of 5.2%.	\$12.00 6.7% 50.0%		\$9.60
2009	All minimum wages increased by 4.2%, lower than the average wage increase of 5.3%.	\$12.50 4.2% 50.0%		\$10.00 4.2%
2010	All minimum wages increased by 2%, lower than the average wage increase of 3%.	\$12.75 2% 49.5%		\$10.20 2%

Notes to the table

- i Percentage mean average wages were calculated using the Quarterly Employment survey, June quarter.
- ii In 2008, the new entrants' minimum wage was introduced for 16 and 17 year olds. Depending on their length of service, whether they are trainees and whether they are training or supervising other workers, 16 and 17 year olds may be eligible for the new entrants' minimum wage or the adult minimum wage.

Appendix 3: Summary of submissions

Twelve written submissions were received from the following organisations: Federated Farmers of New Zealand, Hospitality Association of New Zealand, National Association of Retail Grocers and Supermarkets of New Zealand, Small Business Advisory Group, New Zealand Council of Trade Unions, National Distribution Union, New Zealand Nurses Organisation, Service and Food Workers Union, Unite Union, Mayors' Taskforce for Jobs, National Advisory Council on the Employment of Women and Working Women's Resource Centre. The main points are summarised as follows.

Employer representative groups

National Association of Retail Grocers and Supermarkets of New Zealand (Incorporated) (NARGON)

- Opposes any increase in the minimum wage because of the adverse effect on the labour market by reducing employment opportunities
- Continued increase in the minimum wage rate makes younger people think further formal education and training is not warranted; it also affects employer's ability to employ, especially unskilled labour
- Minimum wage increases will increase the costs of goods and services
- A focus of training for young people as wage increases should be based on productivity increases.

Hospitality Association of New Zealand

- No increase to the minimum wage
- Expected increase in labour costs is not sustainable for competitive industries during recessionary periods and has led to a significant increase in closures, downsizing and job loss throughout the hospitality sector
- Reduced business profitability means reduced flexibility for employers to reward higher productive workers as market wage is significantly influenced by the level of the minimum wage
- Inflationary pressures of wage increases will lead to higher interest rates and consequent reduced investment and job availability
- A rise in minimum wage rates could compromise the opportunities available through the 'Straight to Work' programme, which the Association is involved in with the Ministry of Social Development
- The Government should direct resources to provide greater access to vocational training and employment incentives, particularly for young and less skilled people.

Federated Farmers of New Zealand

- The current minimum wage rate be held constant for the coming year as it is one of the most generous in the OECD countries (54% of the average wage, second only to France) and a further increase will hinder productivity growth and impair the Government's goal of closing income gap with Australia
- An increase in the minimum wage would hamper the chances of an economic recovery and job growth
- Productivity growth does not support increase in the minimum wage
- Farm businesses could not afford increase in the minimum wage and its impact on wage generally

- They reject arguments for the minimum wage based on 'income redistribution' between different individuals and groups in society. Minimum wages should not aim to remedy relative poverty.

Small Business Advisory Group

- Acknowledge the important role of the minimum wage in addressing poverty and pay gap between different demographic groups
- Raising the minimum wage may be the place to start to achieve income parity with Australia
- Recommended adjustment of minimum wage rate is from no increase to \$13.00 an hour, with a strong preference by a number of members to increase the level in line with the rate of CPI.

Employee representative groups

New Zealand Council of Trade Unions

- Raise minimum wage to 66% of the average ordinary time wage (for April 2011 it is estimated at \$17.22 per hour), with an alternative to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 from 1 April 2011 and move to the 66% in 2012
- Current minimum wage protection is excluding an unacceptable number of workers and is ineffective at extending protection to non-standard working arrangements' like contracting
- More thorough enforcement and stronger penalties should be used to ensure comprehensive adherence to the minimum wage.

New Zealand Nurses Organisation (NZNO)

- Fully supports the submission of the New Zealand Council of Trade Unions
- The current minimum wage is inadequate in the health sector because it is:
 - entrenching current health and socio-economic disparities, as a disproportionate number of Māori, Pacific, and migrant workers earn the minimum wage
 - affecting the safety and quality of care in aged and residential care, where the minimum wage is standard for most health care assistants
 - exacerbating the outward flow of New Zealand trained health professionals, and the inward flow of transitory overseas trained health professionals
 - increasing our dangerously high dependency on migrant health workers
 - undermining the robust industrial and employment environment established in New Zealand over 150 years which is intrinsic to our culture of fairness and equal opportunity
- Advocates raising the minimum wage to \$17.22 per hour as the single most effective way in which the Government could:
 - alleviate poverty
 - boost productivity
 - mitigate the risks of dependency on transitory migrant labour in the health sector
 - reduce future demands on health and social services and
 - ensure the skilled workforce necessary to sustain a modern developed economy.

Service and Food Workers Union

- Increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 an hour with further increases so that it is pegged to two-thirds of the New Zealand average ordinary time wage
- Increase training minimum wage rate to 90% of the Adult minimum wage
- Include a new objective of reducing the Gender Pay Gap – to decrease the wage gap between men and women in the New Zealand workforce
- Faith in the market to reward their members with higher pay rates is a mirage. For many employees, the only thing compelling their employer to increase pay rates is the movement in the minimum wage
- Increases in productivity has not translated into increases in wage rates
- Australian minimum wage rate (current AUS\$15 per hour) is much higher than New Zealand's.
- Income disparity is widening
- Minimum wage is an essential mechanism in improving the pay gap for Māori and Pacific Island workers.

National Distribution Union (the NDU)

- The NDU supports CTU's submission
- The NDU is calling for an increase in the minimum wage to \$17.22 an hour
- Supports the immediate removal of new entrant rates so a minimum wage applies irrespective of age
- More clarity and effective enforcement of the minimum wage
- Low pay is prevalent in the retail sector and impacts negatively most of the retail workers. Pay rises in retail, fast food and similar customer services industries have become increasingly dependent on increases in the minimum wage
- An increase in the minimum wage is a very effective means of delivering a fiscal stimulus to an economy in recession, as those on low incomes are more likely to spend any additional income
- Affordability of minimum wage increases for retail employers as the retail sector has experienced enormous growth for more the 10 years until the recession.
- Increase in the minimum wage accords with the minimum wage review criteria:
 - Fairness – to ensure that wages paid are no lower than a socially acceptable minimum
 - Protection – to offer wage protection to vulnerable workers
 - Income distribution – to ensure that incomes of people on low incomes do not deteriorate relative to those of other worker
 - Reducing the gender pay gap – to decrease the wage gap between men and women in the New Zealand workforce.

Unite

- Move the adult minimum wage to \$15 an hour and then peg it at two-thirds of the average total hourly earnings.

Other organisations

Working Women's Resource Centre

- Increase the minimum wage to 66% of the average wage
- Minimum wage needs to increase to keep up with the rising cost of living which includes the recent GST increase. The recent tax cuts provided little relief to workers on the minimum wage
- Increases in the minimum wage support economic and social objectives as they increase economic independence, impact positively on retirement incomes and strengthen incentives to work
- An increase in the minimum wage can make a small but important contribution to reducing the gender pay gap.

Mayors' Taskforce for Jobs

- Establishing a fair minimum wage sends an important signal to young people about their value to the economy and the country as a whole
- Maintaining wage levels is crucial to attract young people into the business in the future
- Information from mayors around the country suggests that any increases in the minimum wage have not resulted in constraints on job creation or fewer opportunities for young people
- Minimum wage protection is necessary for young people, to encourage employers and others to invest in skill development, to avoid increasing the disparity in wage levels between Australia and New Zealand, to reduce the gender pay gap
- Lifting minimum wage, ensuring protection and encouraging good employment practices overall, will help address some of the issues the Taskforce is working on such as retention of young people, effective transitions and greater investment in industry training. Minimum wage increases will support social as well as economic objectives particularly around independence and incentives to work.

National Advisory Council on the Employment of Women (NACEW)

- Women are highly represented amongst low income earners even a moderate increase to the minimum wage will have a direct impact on their economic conditions and that of their families
- There is a positive (though modest) relationship between protective mechanisms such as the minimum wage and reducing the gender pay gap
- Endorse the increase in minimum wage as part of a range of mechanisms that increase the likelihood of women achieving financial security and independence.

RECEIVED

28 JAN 2011

GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVE
AND MINISTERIAL SUPPORT

Department of Labour
TE TARI MAHI



CABINET PAPER

RECEIVED

18 JAN 2011

BY:

MINISTER	PORTFOLIO	DEADLINE
Hon Kate Wilkinson	Minister of Labour	10.00 am Wednesday 26 Jan 2011
Action sought	For your signature	
Title	MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010	
Date	17 January 2011	
Security	N/A	
Contact information	[9(2)(a)] Justin Strang Policy Manager - Employment Relations Policy Unit	DDI: [9(2)(a)] MB: N/A
Authorising manager	Shenagh Gleisner Acting Deputy Chief Executive - Policy and Research Group	DDI: 04 915 4615 MB: 027 676 8710
Tracker number	10/99932	DDI: 04 915 4180 MB: 021 221 4192

Minister's comments

Minister's feedback	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Very Good
Quality of advice	1	2	3	4	5
Writing style	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of analysis	1	2	3	4	5
Completeness of information	1	2	3	4	5



10/99932

17 January 2011

Hon Kate Wilkinson, Minister of Labour

CABINET PAPER: MINIMUM WAGE REVIEW 2010

Purpose

- 1 A paper informing Cabinet of your decision to increase the adult minimum wage to \$13.00 an hour is attached for your signature. Cabinet Business Committee is considering this paper on 1 February 2011, and the paper therefore needs to be submitted to Cabinet Office by 10.00am on 26 January 2011. The Department of Labour (the Department) seeks any comments you may have on the Cabinet paper by 19 January 2011 to ensure the Cabinet timeframes can be met.
- 2 This paper also informs you of minor changes the Department has made to the model which estimates the relationship between the minimum wage and employment growth.

Impact on employment growth

- 3 The model used to estimate the impact on employment growth considers how a firm that hires minimum wage workers would alter its employment decisions based on changes in the minimum wage and other price changes faced by the firm. The model is based on a conventional model of firm decision-making, whereby firms operating in a perfectly competitive market adjust outputs and inputs, including labour, in response to their relative prices.
- 4 The model uses a baseline estimate of employment growth that assumes both the minimum wage and the price of a firm's outputs are increasing by the same percentage. This keeps the relative prices the same, so a firm's preference for labour is not affected by an increase in the minimum wage.
- 5 If the minimum wage increases by a smaller amount than the increase in the price of a firm's outputs, then the price of labour is relatively lower. If the minimum wage increases by a larger amount than the increase in the price of a firm's outputs, then the price of labour is relatively higher.
- 6 For past reviews and in the Officials' paper for the Minimum Wage Review 2010, the Department only reported on the *constraints* on employment growth; that is where the employment growth estimated for a particular option is lower than the baseline estimated employment growth.
- 7 Following discussions with the Treasury, the Cabinet paper reports on the *impacts* on employment growth; therefore we have included estimates on whether the

minimum wage options will be higher than or lower than the baseline estimated employment growth.


- 8 The Department considers that this change in reporting does not significantly impact on the interpretation of the employment growth data and does not alter our previous advice to you [10/99188 refers].
- 9 The Department has also refined the adjustment factors to estimate the high and low scenarios of employment growth for 18 and 19 year olds. This has changed the estimates slightly, without altering the main conclusions.
- 10 The Department will amend the Officials' paper on the Minimum Wage Review 2010 to reflect these minor changes before it is released on our website, to ensure consistency with the Regulatory Impact Statement and Cabinet paper.

Recommendations

It is recommended that you:

- 1 **note** the Department of Labour has made minor changes to the model showing the relationship between the minimum wage and employment growth but does not consider that this change in reporting significantly impacts on the interpretation of the employment growth data
- 2 **note** that the Department of Labour will update the Officials' paper on the Minimum Wage Review 2010 to reflect these minor changes before it is released on our website, and
- 3 **either**
 - 3.1 **sign** the attached Cabinet paper and submit it to Cabinet office for consideration by the Cabinet Business Committee on 1 February 2011
 - or**
 - 3.2 **provide** any comments to the Department of Labour by 19 January 2011.

signed



Shenagh Gleisner
Acting Deputy Chief Executive – Policy and Research
for Secretary of Labour

17 / 1 / 2011



Hon Kate Wilkinson
Minister of Labour

28 / 1 / 11