

RatingsDirect®

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

Primary Credit Analysts:

Nico N DeLange, Sydney (61) 2-9255-9887; nico.delange@standardandpoors.com
Sharad Jain, Melbourne (61) 3-9631-2077; sharad.jain@standardandpoors.com
Andrew Mayes, Melbourne (61) 3-9631-2078; andrew.mayes@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contacts:

Lisa Barrett, Melbourne (61) 3-9631-2081; lisa.barrett@standardandpoors.com
Mark A Legge, Melbourne (61) 3-9631-2041; mark.legge@standardandpoors.com
Peter Sikora, Melbourne (61) 3-9631-2094; peter.sikora@standardandpoors.com

OVERVIEW

- We believe that New Zealand banking financial institutions face increased risks stemming largely from rising house prices in Auckland, and these risks are accentuated by the structural external weaknesses in the New Zealand economy.
- Consequently, we have lowered our issuer credit ratings on seven New Zealand financial institutions.
- We also affirmed our issuer credit ratings on 11 financial institutions and New Zealand Post Ltd. Out of the 11 financial institutions, we lowered the stand-alone credit profiles of six and kept those on five unchanged.
- The rating affirmations reflect factors specific to each of these institutions, such as our assessment of parent support for the four major banks in New Zealand.

SYDNEY (Standard & Poor's) Aug. 14, 2015--Standard & Poor's Ratings Services said today that it has lowered its ratings on seven New Zealand financial institutions. At the same, we affirmed the ratings on 11 financial institutions in New Zealand and New Zealand Post Ltd. (see the ratings list below for the full list of affected issuer credit ratings). Out of the 11

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

financial institutions whose ratings have been affirmed, we lowered the stand-alone credit profiles (SACP) of six institutions and kept those on five unchanged.

None of the lowering of the New Zealand financial institutions ratings or SACPs announced today were due to higher existing or emerging risks specific to the institution--such as any asset quality concerns.

The rating actions reflect our view that New Zealand financial institutions face heightened risks because of an increase in the country's overall level of economic imbalances over the past three years. In particular, we believe that the rapid rise in house prices in Auckland during this period has amplified the risk of a sharp correction in property prices, although we consider that such a scenario remains unlikely in our base case.

We had foreshadowed the possibility of these rating actions in several commentaries and negative rating outlooks on a number of financial institutions over the past two years (see article titled, "New Zealand Banking Outlook: Significant Risk Remains Of A Sharp Correction In Property Prices," Feb. 27, 2013).

Nevertheless, we believe that if a sharp fall in house prices in Auckland were to occur, most financial institutions in New Zealand will be adversely affected even when they do not have significant direct exposure to home lending in the city. This is because of the importance of Auckland to the New Zealand economy; it accounts for about 35% of the GDP and more than one-third of the country's population. Additionally, we believe that a sharp decline in house prices in Auckland would likely be accompanied by weakening in other macroeconomic factors such as a slowdown in GDP and a rise in unemployment. The business and consumer sentiment is also likely to suffer as a result, in our opinion.

Accentuating these risks are New Zealand economy's external weaknesses in our view. We expect that the credit losses of most New Zealand financial institutions will significantly increase in such a scenario. Consequently, we have revised our assessment of the stand-alone credit profiles (SACPs) of almost all institutions in New Zealand, although the impact on their ratings differs in some cases (see Credit FAQ titled, "Explaining Standard & Poor's Rating Actions On Several New Zealand Financial Institutions Due To Rising House Prices", Aug. 14, 2015).

Notwithstanding the increase in risks, we believe that New Zealand remains a relatively lower-risk banking system by global standards, as reflected in our revised score for the country's Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) of '4' on a scale of '1' (lowest risk) to '10' (highest risk). Indeed, we believe that the increased risk of a sharp correction in house prices is incremental and off a relatively low-risk level. We note that the current house price appreciation in Auckland follows a period of restrained growth in private sector debt and national house prices for 2007 to 2011. We consider that New Zealand's resilient economy, conservative banking regulation, and low

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

risk-appetite support its banking sector. In our opinion, partly tempering these strengths are: the country's moderately high private sector debt; material dependence of the banking system on offshore and wholesale funding; and New Zealand's high external debt and current account deficit.

Our assessment takes into account macro-prudential tools implemented by the central bank that, in our opinion have been effective in controlling house price growth across New Zealand, excluding Auckland. While we consider that low interest rates have propelled credit growth and subsequently stoked house price growth, we believe that housing supply constraints and high net immigration have also contributed to price appreciation. Nevertheless, we note that macro-prudential tools have only had a temporary effect on house prices in Auckland, which resumed a rapid rise following a short period of abated growth. This is contrary to our previous base-case expectation that the macro-prudential tools would be more effective in stemming house price inflationary pressures across the country.

We have also taken note of other positive developments in the New Zealand banking sector in the past two years. The proportion of loans with high loan-to-value ratios (LVR) of more than 80% has reduced to about 15% of total bank mortgage lending at December 2014, from 21% in June 2013. In our opinion, these developments partly temper the increase in risks due to rising economic imbalances.

To further explain the above rating actions, and answer any related questions, we will be publishing a Credit FAQ shortly and hosting a webcast on Aug. 17, 2015 at 1.30pm New Zealand time. Details on the webcast will shortly be available on the following weblink (<https://www.spratings.com/events>) and can be obtained from our media contact Richard Noonan at richard.noonan@standardandpoors.com.

BICRA score snapshot

	SCORE	
	To	From
BICRA*	4	3
Economic Risk*	4	3
Economic Risk Trend	Stable	Negative
Economic Resilience**	1	1
Economic Imbalances**	4	3
Credit Risk In The Economy**	3	3
Industry Risk*	4	4
Industry Risk Trend	Stable	Stable
Institutional Framework**	2	2
Competitive Dynamics**	2	2
Systemwide Funding**	4	4

*On a scale of 1 (lowest risk) to 10 (highest risk)

**On a scale of 1 (lowest risk) to 6 (highest risk)

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

For more details on BICRA scores on all banking industries that we assess across the globe, please see our latest "Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Update," updated on a monthly basis.

RATINGS LIST

ISSUER CREDIT RATINGS AFFIRMED

	To	From
ANZ Bank New Zealand Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Stable/A-1+
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb+	a-
ASB Bank Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Stable/A-1+
Stand-alone credit profile	a-	a
Bank of New Zealand		
Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Stable/A-1+
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb+	a-
Westpac New Zealand Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Stable/A-1+
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb+	a-
Kiwibank Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Negative/A-1	A+/Negative/A-1
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb	bbb
New Zealand Post Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Negative/A-1	A+/Negative/A-1
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb	bbb
Rabobank New Zealand Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	A/Negative/A-1	A/Negative/A-1
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb	bbb+
Police and Families Credit Union		
Issuer Credit Rating	BB+/Stable/B	BB+/Stable/B
Stand-alone credit profile	bb+	bb+
FE Investments Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	B/Stable/B	B/Stable/B
Stand-alone credit profile	b	b
UDC Finance Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	AA-/Stable/A-1+	AA-/Stable/A-1+
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb-	bbb
Liberty Financial Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	BBB-/Stable/A-3	BBB-/Stable/A-3
Stand-alone credit profile	b-	b-

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

Medical Securities Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/--	BBB+/Stable/--
Stand-alone credit profile	bb+	bb+

ISSUER CREDIT RATINGS LOWERED

Credit Union Baywide

Issuer Credit Rating	BB-/Stable/B	BB/Negative/B
Stand-alone credit profile	bb-	bb

Credit Union South

Issuer Credit Rating	BB-/Stable/B	BB/Negative/B
Stand-alone credit profile	bb-	bb

First Credit Union

Issuer Credit Rating	BB-/Stable/B	BB/Negative/B
Stand-alone credit profile	bb-	bb

Asset Finance Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	B/Stable/B	B+/Negative/B
Stand-alone credit profile	b	b+

Avanti Finance Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	BB/Stable/B	BB+/Negative/B
Stand-alone credit profile	bb	bb+

Fisher & Paykel Finance Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	BB/Stable/B	BB+/Negative/B
Stand-alone credit profile	bb	bb+

MARAC Insurance Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/--	BBB-/Negative/--
Financial Strength Rating	BBB+/Stable/--	BBB-/Negative/--
Stand-alone credit profile	bb+	bb+

RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

Related Criteria For Banks And Nonbanks

- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Bank Hybrid Capital And Nondeferrable Subordinated Debt Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 29, 2015
- Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Revised Market Risk Charges For Banks In Our Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework, June 22, 2012
- Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

- Bank Capital Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 6, 2010
- Use of CreditWatch and Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Nonbank Financial Institutions Rating Methodology, Dec. 9, 2014

Related Criteria For New Zealand Post

- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors for Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Key Credit Factors For The Railroad And Package Express Industry, Aug. 12, 2014
- Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Corporate Methodology: Ratios and Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Methodology For Linking Short-Term And Long-Term Ratings For Corporate, Insurance, And Sovereign Issuers, May 7, 2013
- Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- Hybrid Capital Handbook: September 2008 Edition, Sept. 15, 2008
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Rating Each Issue, April 15, 2008

Related Criteria For MARAC Insurance

- Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Insurers: Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Enterprise Risk Management, May 7, 2013
- Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- Refined Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing Insurer Capital Adequacy Using The Risk-Based Insurance Capital Model, June 7, 2010
- Use of CreditWatch and Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Credit FAQ: Explaining Standard & Poor's Rating Actions On Several New Zealand Financial Institutions Due To Rising House Prices, Aug. 14, 2015
- New Zealand Banking Sector Outlook: RBNZ On A Sticky Wicket To Remove "Speed Limits"?, March 17, 2015
- Macro-Prudential Policy For New Zealand's Financial System Represents A Good Start Toward Reducing Risks, June 4, 2013
- Outlooks On Eight New Zealand Banks Revised To Negative On Rising Economic Risks; Ratings On 15 Banks Affirmed, May 16, 2013
- New Zealand Banking Outlook: Significant Risk Remains Of A Sharp Correction In Property Prices, Feb. 27, 2013

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at www.spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at

Various Rating Actions Taken On New Zealand Financial Institutions Reflecting Rising Economic Imbalances

www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

AUSTRALIA

Standard & Poor's (Australia) Pty. Ltd. holds Australian financial services licence number 337565 under the Corporations Act 2001. Standard & Poor's credit ratings and related research are not intended for and must not be distributed to any person in Australia other than a wholesale client (as defined in Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act).

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.